# TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: WA

APPLICATION YEAR: 2005

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- Form 10 Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant State Profile for FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
  - MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
  - o MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
  - SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
  - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
  - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
  - OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
  - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
  - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
  - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
  - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
  - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
  - o POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL	ASSISTANCE	2. DATE SUBMITTED 7/15/2004	APPLICANT IDENTIFIER			
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:		3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER			
Application Pre-ap	olication					
Construction Co	nstruction	4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER			
Non-Construction	n-Construction					
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION						
Legal Name: State of Washington, Department of Ho	alth	Organizational Unit: MCH Programs, Community & Family Health				
Address (give city, county, state and zip of NewMarket Industrial Campus, Buildin P.O. Box 47835 Olympia, WA 98504 County: Thurston		Name and telephone number of the person to be of application (give area code) Name: Jan Fleming, MCH Office Director Tel Number: 360.236.3581	contacted on matters involving this			
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMB	R (EIN):	7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (Enter appropriate letter				
	3 0 3	A. State H. Independent School District B. County I. State Controlled Institution of C. Municiple J. Private University D. Township K. Indian Tribe E. Interstate L. Individual F. Intermuniciple M. Profit Organization G. Special District N. Other (Specify)				
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:  New Continuation Revision		9 NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration	, Maternal and Child Health Bureau			
If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in b. A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase I Decrease Duration Other (specify):	ox(es)					
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC NUMBER:	ASSISTANCE	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJECT: Title V Maternal and Child Health Block Grant				
9394	51 1 <b>0</b> 0001					
TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Servi 12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (c states, etc.): Statewide						
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:		14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:				
Start Date: Ending Dat 10/01/2004 09/30/2005	<del></del>	a. Applicant State of Washington	b. Project			
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:	16. IS APPLICA	TION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIV	'E ORDER 12372 PROCESS?			
a. Federal \$ 9,613,745.	ORDER 123	PREAPPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAI 72 PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON	LABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE			
b. Applicant \$ 0.	DATE:					
c. State \$6,500,000.	00 PRC	OGRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E.O. 12372				
d. Local \$89,000.	OR OR	PROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE	FOR REVIEW			
e. Other \$ 984,626.	)0					
f. Program   \$ 0.	00					
g. TOTAL \$ 17,187,371.	no II	LICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT				
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHOR ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASS	IZED BY THE GOV	DATA IN THIS APPLICATION/PREAPPLICATION A (ERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE API DED.	RE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE PLICANT WILL COMPLY BY THE			
a. Typed Name of Authorized Representa Patty Hayes		b. Title Assist. Sec., Community & Family Health	c. Telephone Number 360.236.3723			
d. Signature of Authorized Representative	1		e. Date Signed			

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

FORM	2		
MCH BUDGET DETAI			
[Secs. 504 (d) and s			
STATE: \	WA		
FEDERAL ALLOCATION     (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424])     Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:		\$	9,613,745
A.Preventive and primary care for children:			
\$			
B.Children with special health care needs:			
\$ <u>3,163,883</u> ( <u>32.91</u> %)			
(If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the applica C.Title V admininstrative costs:	tion)[Sec. 505(a)(3)]		
\$ 880,620 ( 9.16%)			
(The above figure cannot be more than 10% )[Sec. 504(d)]			
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)	\$	0	
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)		\$	6,500,000
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)		\$	89,000
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)		\$	984,626
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)		\$	0
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount)		\$	7,573,626
\$			
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PAR (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	TNERSHIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$	17,187,371
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the Tit	e V program)		
a. SPRANS:	\$1,536,000		
b. SSDI:	\$177,000		
c. CISS:	\$0		
d. Abstinence Education:	\$ 832,000		
e. Healthy Start:	\$0		
f. EMSC:	\$0		
g. WIC:	\$0		
h. AIDS:	\$0		
i. CDC:	\$3,940,000		
j. Education:	\$0		
k. Other:			
Childcare Grant & UT	\$ 1,209,000		
Title XIX	\$ 1,500,000		
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item 9	)	\$	9,194,000
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL		\$	26,381,371
(Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)		Ψ	20,001,011

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main
 Field Name: OtherFedFundsOtherFund
 Row Name: Other Federal Funds - Other Funds
 Column Name:
 Year: 2005
 Field Note:

Field Note:
Childcare Grant is DSHS 60.840 @ \$1200,000
UT is Universey of UT @ \$9,000

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2003	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005			
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED			
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$9,489,652	\$10,587,944	\$ 9,364,663	\$0	\$9,613,745	\$0			
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 14,500,010	\$ 6,584,859	\$	\$0	\$6,500,000	\$0			
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$9,214,603	\$0	\$0	\$89,000	\$0			
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$984,626	\$0			
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$23,989,662	\$26,387,406	\$16,938,289	\$0	\$17,187,371	\$0			
		(THE FE	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)				
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$8,279,000	\$12,633,264	\$8,765,000	\$0	\$	\$0			
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$32,268,662	\$39,020,670	\$25,703,289	\$0	\$26,381,371	\$0			
		(STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL)							

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2000	FY 2	2001	FY 2002		
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$9,106,302	\$9,107,013	\$ 9,200,528	\$ 8,959,646	\$9,191,681	\$8,041,809	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$15,000,000	\$ 15,166,966	\$15,000,000	\$ 15,303,791	\$19,143,000	\$ 7,573,626	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,064,942	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$24,106,302	\$24,273,979	\$ 24,200,528	\$ 24,263,437	\$	\$ 24,680,377	
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTI	NERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$6,587,000	\$	\$5,120,250	\$5,257,058	\$11,027,387	\$ 7,761,304	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$30,693,302	\$31,734,906	\$	\$ 29,520,495	\$39,362,068	\$32,441,681	
	(STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL)						

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Federal Allocation: \$1,149,872: FY02 Allocated exceeds FY02 Budgeted by \$199,645. This contributed to timing differences in realizing expenditures of obligated funds.

 Section Number: Main Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Federal Allocation: \$(1,098,292): FY03 Expended exceeds FY03 Budgeted because of the timing difference in expending obligated funds. The majority of MCHBG funds are allocated to Local Health Jurisdictions, who are currently on a 2 year calendar year contract cycle.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Total State Funds: \$12,099,506: FY02 Expended represents a 63% variance. FY02 Budgeted exceeds FFY02 Expended due to projections made two years prior using actuals expenditures for FFY02. In FY00, the state economic outlook was significantly different. In FFY02, the MCH Program experienced approximately \$10 million reduction in state funding for vaccines as well as almost \$1 million loss in state funding to Teen Pregnancy Prevention as a result of statewide budget cuts. HSA funds were subsequently made available to meet vaccine needs.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Total State Funds: \$7,915151 variance: FY03 Budgeted to FY03 Expended reporesents a 54.58% variance. FY03 Budgeted exceeds FY03 Expended due to projections made two prior, using actual expenditures for FY01. In FY02, the MCH Program experienced an approximately \$10 million reduction in state funding for vaccines as well as almost \$1 million dollar loss in state funding to Child Death Review as a result of statewide budget cuts. HSA funds were subsequently made available to meet vaccine needs.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: LocalMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: Local MCH Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

Local Funds: \$(9,214,603) variance: The negative variance reflects the shift in funding source for vaccines from state funds to HSA (Health Services Account) funds. This funding source had not previously been in Washington State's MCH program. This category also reflects local funding of approximately \$89,000.

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002

Other Funds (8,494,012): The negative variance reflects the shift in funding source for vaccines from state funds to HSA funds. This funding source had not previously been in WA State's MCH program. This category also reflects expenditures in State Title XIX for \$1.1 million.

7. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds Column Name: Expended Year: 2002

Field Note:

Other Federal Funds (3,266,083): FFY02 Budgeted exceeds FFY02 Expended because of the reduction in Children with Special Needs funding through a DSHS Interagency Agreement of approximately \$3.4 million.

8. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsExpended Row Name: Other Federal Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Other Federal Funds: \$(4,354,264) variance: FY03 Expended exceeds FY03 Budgeted primarily because of the \$3,147,866 increased amounts received for Immunizations from the CDC. OMCH received an EDDHI grant for \$226,027 as well as a Newborn Hearing Screening grant for \$177,623 after the FY03 estimates were submitted. Additionally, Title-19 match was \$150,240 more than estimated. The balance of the excess was due to small increases in existing grants' expenditures due to timing and carryforward.

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

		FY 2003				FY 2004				FY 2005		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	Bu	DGETED	EXPEN	DED	Bui	DGETED	EXPEN	IDED	Вι	JDGETED	EXPENDE	)
a. Pregnant Women	\$	2,300,000	\$	2,511,685	\$	2,000,000	\$	0	\$	1,719,000	\$	C
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	4,930,000	\$	5,339,559	\$	2,789,000	\$	0	\$	3,437,000	\$	C
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$	10,680,000	\$	11,838,085	\$	6,070,000	\$	0	\$	7,414,000	\$	C
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$	5,115,000	\$	5,822,065	\$	5,000,000	\$	0	\$	3,781,000	\$	(
e. Others	\$	270,000	\$	127,024	\$	200,000	\$	0	\$	86,000	\$	C
f. Administration	\$	694,662	\$	748,988	\$	879,289	\$	0	\$	750,371	\$	С
g. SUBTOTAL	\$	23,989,662	\$ 26	,387,406	\$	16,938,289	\$	0	\$	17,187,371	\$	0
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	contr	ol of the person re	esponsil	ole for admini	strat	ion of the Title V	progran	n).				
a. SPRANS	\$	300,000			\$	530,000			\$	1,536,000		
b. SSDI	\$	100,000			\$	100,000			\$	177,000		
c. CISS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$	739,000			\$	739,000			\$	832,000		
e. Healthy Start	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
f. EMSC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
g. WIC	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
h. AIDS	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
i. CDC	\$	4,090,000			\$	4,946,000			\$	3,940,000		
j. Education	\$	0			\$	0			\$	0		
k.Other	]		•									
Childcare Grant & UT	\$	0			\$	0			\$	1,209,000		
Title XIX	\$	0			\$	0			\$	1,500,000		
CLDF (93.575)	\$	0			\$	1,100,000			\$	0		
Domestic Violence (93.926)	\$	150,000			\$	150,000			\$	0		
Title XIX (93.778)	\$	1,200,000			\$	1,200,000			\$	0		
CCDF (93.575	\$	1,200,000			\$	0			\$	0		
TANF (93.558)	\$	500,000			\$	0			\$	0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$	8,279,000			\$	8,765,000			\$	9,194,000		

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY 2	2000	FY:	2001	FY 2002		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,284,257	\$2,100,000	\$	\$2,200,000	\$2,293,193	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$4,000,000	\$ 4,839,154	\$5,200,000	\$	\$6,900,000	\$5,746,457	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$11,700,000	\$ 10,152,038	\$ 10,200,000	\$10,677,176	\$12,300,000	\$ 10,807,089	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$5,200,000	\$5,351,744	\$5,200,000	\$5,114,479	\$5,300,000	\$ 4,792,845	
e. Others	\$ 456,302	\$ 287,389	\$ 300,528	\$ 267,705	\$ 285,000	\$ 176,843	
f. Administration	\$	\$1,359,397	\$1,200,000	\$1,011,420	\$1,349,681	\$863,950	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 24,106,302	\$ 24,273,979	\$ 24,200,528	\$ 24,263,437	\$ 28,334,681	\$ 24,680,377	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	ontrol of the person re	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$ 255,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 323,387		
b. SSDI	\$ 100,000		\$100,000		\$ 100,000		
c. CISS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$ 739,000		\$		\$		
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
i. CDC	\$ 4,293,000		\$2,981,250		\$4,395,000		
j. Education	\$0		\$0		\$0		
k.Other		ı		1		l	
CCDF (93.575)	\$0		\$0		\$1,070,000		
Domestic Violence (93.926)	\$0		\$0		\$ 150,000		
TANF (93.558)	\$0		\$0		\$3,050,000		
Title XIX (93.778)	\$0		\$0		\$ 1,200,000		
Title XIX	\$1,200,000		\$1,200,000		\$0		
				1	\$ 11,027,387		

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

(93,193): As in Form 3, timing differences account for the varianc between budgeted and expended.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

1,153,543: FY02 was budgeted using FY00 Actual amounts. IN FY00, it was expected that this category would be able to expend approximately \$2.1 million more than the actual expenditure for FY00. This assumption formed the basis for the budgeted amount for FY02 in this category. Additionally, as in Form 3 timing differences also contributed to the positive variance.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_1\_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

1,655,843: MCH lost approximately \$1 million in state funding for teen pregnancy prevention. Additionally, loss of state funds for immunization impacted expenditures in this

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_1\_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Children 1-22 years old: \$91,158,085) variance: Overall, expenditures have shifted to MCH emphasized categories and away from All Others. This is due to efforts to more appropriately meet MCH population needs and protect services in a down-turned economy. While not verifiable at this time, it is possible that better reporting from Local Health Jurisdictions accounts for the change. Timing differences were also a factor.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

507,155: There were timing differences in realizing expenditures for obligated funds.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

CSHCN: \$(707,065) variance: Overall, expenditures have shifted to MCH emphasized categories and away from All Others. This is due to efforts to more appropriately meet MCH population needs and protect services in a down-turned economy. While not verifiable at this time, it is possible that better reporting from the Local Health Jurisdictions accounts for the change. Timing differences are also a factor.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended Year: 2002 Field Note:

108,157: The positive variance is due to a shift in expenditure allocations to other categories in order to increase precision of reporting.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended Year: 2003

Field Note:

All Others: \$142,976: The positive variance is due to a continuing shift of expenditures in other categories in order to increase emphasis on MCH population services as well as increased precision in reporting.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

485,731: Positive variance is due to a decrease in indirect rates.

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Service	FY 2	2003	FY 2	2004	FY 2005		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$1,603,000	\$1,680,224	\$1,100,000	\$0	\$ 1,095,000	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$3,695,000	\$4,926,659	\$4,308,000	\$0	\$3,209,000	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$11,820,000	\$12,337,208	\$	\$0	\$8,035,000	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$6,871,662	\$7,443,315	\$8,330,289	\$0	\$4,848,371	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$23,989,662	\$26,387,406	\$16,938,289	\$0	\$ <u>17,187,371</u>	\$0	

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepular	FY 2	2000	FY 2	2001	FY 2002		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$\$	\$	\$	\$1,603,251	\$	\$1,146,543	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$ 2,500,000	\$4,059,537	\$4,000,000	\$3,969,065	\$3,500,000	\$4,311,298	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 12,500,000	\$10,241,071	\$ 10,500,000	\$ 11,820,492	\$15,000,000	\$11,829,513	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$ 6,906,302	\$7,858,088	\$	\$6,870,629	\$	\$	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$24,106,302	\$24,273,979	\$24,200,528	\$24,263,437	\$28,334,681	\$24,680,377	

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

953,457: The positive variance reflects a shift in expenditures to other levels of the pyramid (e.g., Enabling Services and Infrastructure Building).

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

(811,298): The negative variance reflects some under-budgeting (approximately \$300,000) when the budget was submitted in FY00. FY00 actual amounts were used in compiling the budget. Additional over-expenditure reflects a shift in expenditures from other categories.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Enabling Services: \$(1,231,659) variance: Overall, Washington State expenditures in Form 5 increased over budgeted estimates. Total state and local funds expended increased by \$1,299,452 and MCHBG expended increased by \$1,098,292 across all categories. The negative variance of 33.33% in Enabling Services can be accounted for due to the following: Comparisons of percent budgeted of total amount budgeted versus percent expended of total amount expended across categories revealed no significant differences. Therfore, the variance is most likely due to increased state expenditures and increeased MCHBG expenditures. The latter would be cause by timing differences.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended Year: 2002

Year: 2002 Field Note:

3,170,487: The budget was compiled in FY00, utilizing actual amounts for that period. At the time, it was expected that approximately \$5 million more than the actual expended amount for FY00 would be expended in this category in FY02. The budgeted amount reflects this assumption. Additionally, the teen pregnancy prevention program lost approximately \$1 million in state funding.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended
Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2002 Field Note:

341,658: The variance represents both a shift in funding, particularly at the local level, to protect enabling services during the current economic downturn and timing differences in unrealized obligated expenditures.

			FORM 6									
NUMBER AND PE	NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED											
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)												
STATE: WA												
Total Births by Occurrence: 75,395 Reporting Year: 2002												
Type of Screening Tests	(A Receiving at lea (1	st one Screen	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	(E Needing Tre Received Tr	atment that						
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%						
Phenylketonuria	74,925	99.4	8	5	2	40						
Congenital Hypothyroidism	74,925	99.4	69	35	35	100						
Galactosemia	74,925	99.4	6	3	3	100						
Sickle Cell Disease	74,925	99.4	176	- 6	6	100						
Other Screening	(Specify)											
Screening Progra	ams for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)								
(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.												
			_		_							
			·		·							

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main Field Name: BirthOccurence

Row Name: Total Births By Occurence Column Name: Total Births By Occurence

Field Note:

These data come from the Department of Health Office of Newborn Screening database (updated monthly). The numerator is the number of live born infants born in Washington that were reported as screened by the Office of Newborn Screening. The denominator is the number of live births occurring in Washington. Infants born on U.S. Military Installations are excluded. For 2002, the total excludes 2,907 military births screened by Oregon, 52 parent refusals, 156 babies who died prior to getting a screen, & 80 babies who were transferred to an out-of-state hospital and screened there.. The state currently screens for adrenal hyperplasia, PKU, hypothyroidism, and hemoglobinopathies. In 2003, Washington started to screen for galactosemia.

Numerator==74,925

Den== 78,590-Exclusions (288) - Military (2,907)= 75,395

Total screened = 99.38%

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Phenylketonuria\_OneScreenNo Row Name: Phenylketonuria

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2005 Field Note:

Three of the five kids with PKU had only mildly elevated phenylalanine levels as newborns. Their blood levels are monitored regularly and we expect that some of them,

once they are off breast milk and on a diet containing solid foods, will require treatment (when their blood phenylalanine levels rise above 6mg/dL).

### Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: WA

Reporting Year: 2003

	TITLE V		PRIMAR	Y SOURCES OF COV	'ERAGE	
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %
Pregnant Women	17,947	87.0		9.0	2.0	2.0
Infants < 1 year old	75,602	43.0	0.7	47.0	9.0	
Children 1 to 22 years old	392,828	33.0	0.5	56.0	11.0	
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	11,045	83.0		14.0	3.0	
Others	3,983	43.0	0.0	16.0	20.0	21.0
TOTAL	501,405					

Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V

Total Served: Total served is the unduplicated total number of individuals receiving a direct service from the Title V program by class of individual. The number of pregnant women served, children with special health care needs served, and others served comes from reporting of direct services by local health jurisdictions across the state. The number of infants < 1 year of age served is the number of newborns screened in 2003 from the Newborn Screening Program, Washington State Department of Health Public Health Laboratories. The number of children ages 1 to 22 served includes those children ages 1 to 6 that are sent CHILD Profile Health promotion materials through the mail as well as 50% of the children reported served by the local health jurisdictions across the state. Only 50% of the children served by local health jurisdictions are included to eliminate the possibility of duplication with the number of children receiving CHILD Profile packets.

Primary Data Sources: These data were obtained from reporting by LHJs, WA State Dept of Health Newborn Screening Program, and the WA State Dept of Health Child Profile Program.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: WA

Reporting Year: 2002

#### I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	77,799	63,123	3,202	1,835	6,408	427	0	2,804
Title V Served	19,324	15,679	795	456	1,592	106	0	696
Eligible for Title XIX	33,744	26,963	2,005	1,349	2,057	237	0	1,133
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	79,003	64,159	3,245	1,853	6,471	428	0	2,847
Title V Served	74,925	60,848	3,077	1,757	6,137	406	0	2,700
Eligible for Title XIX	34,178	27,288	2,053	1,369	2,076	241	0	1,151

#### II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)					
	( A ) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	( B.2 ) Cuban	( B.3 ) Puerto Rican	( B.4 ) Central and South American	( B.5 ) Other and Unknown	
DELIVERIES									
Total Deliveries in State	64,117	12,323	1,359	10,348	50	296	675	954	
Title V Served	15,926	3,061	338	2,570	12	74	168	237	
Eligible for Title XIX	24,222	8,979	543	7,872	26	130	380	571	
INFANTS									
Total Infants in State	65,242	12,393	1,368	10,396	54	302	684	957	
Title V Served	61,874	11,753	1,297	9,859	51	286	649	908	
Eligible for Title XIX	24,563	9,062	553	7,938	27	135	387	575	

Deliveries and Infants served by Title V and entitled to benefits under Title XIX.

Total Deliveries in State:

The population-based total of all resident deliveries occurring in Washington State for 2002 was obtained from the First Steps Database (FSDB), Washington State Department of Social and Health Services, 2/7/04, using 2002 Washington State Birth Certificate Files. The FSDB number of deliveries is unduplicated by woman (in the case of multiple births) and includes fetal deaths.

Title V Served: No contractors are using Maternal and Child Health funds for direct prenatal care, delivery and postpartum services. This number represents the total number of pregnant women served by all LHJs in 2003, and was reported in Form 7 of 2005 Maternal and Child Health Block Grant Application.

Eligible for XIX: Those eligible for Title XIX were determined by the number of Medicaid deliveries, by race and ethnicity, reported in the First Steps Database, Washington State of Social and Health Services, 2/7/04. These data reflect Washington state residents.

Total Infants in State:

The population-based total of all infants (<1 year old) by race in Washington State for 2002 was derived from the total number of births by residence in the state by maternal race from the Washington State Birth Certificate Files. The number of infants is computed by counting all live born infants (fetal deaths are excluded).

Title V Served: The total number of infants served under Title V is the number of infants receiving newborn screening in 2002, as reported on Form 6. These data were obtained from the Newborn Screening Program, Washington State Department of Health Public Health Laboratories.

Eligible for XIX: Those eligible for Title XIX were determined by the number of births reported by Medicaid status, maternal race and maternal ethnicity in the First Steps Database, Washington State of Social and Health Services, 2/7/04

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

None

## FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: WA

	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002	FY 2001
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	800-322-2588	800-322-2588	(800) 322-2588	(800) 322-2588	(800) 322-2588
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Healthy MothersHealthy Babies	Healthy MothersHealthy Babies	Healthy Mothers Healthy Babies	Healthy Mothers Healthy Babies	Healthy Mothers Healthy Babies
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Vicki M. Bouvier	Nancy Welton	Nancy Welton (360) 236	Nancy Welton (360) 236-	Nancy Welton (360) 236
4. Contact Person's Telephone Number	(360) 236-3459	(360) 236-3524	Tom Rogers (360) 236	Johanna Flynn (360) 236	Tom Rogers (360) 236
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period			54,593	47,849	44,341

## FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(8)] STATE: WA

FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002	FY 2001
0	0	0	0	0

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

## FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2005 [Sec. 506(A)(1)]

[SEC. 506(A)(1)]
STATE: WA

#### 1. State MCH Administration:

(max 2500 characters

The Department of Health (DOH) is the state agency which administers the MCH Block Grant in the state of Washington. The Office of Maternal and Child Health (OMCH) is located in the Division of Community and Family Health, under the direction of the Assistant Secretary, Jackson L. Williams, M.A. OMCH is divided into the following sections: Maternal Infant Health, Child and Adolescent Health, CHILD Profile, Children with Special Health Care Needs, Genetics, Immunization and Assessment. These sections are focused primarily on infrastructure building, with the majority of direct health care, enabling and population based Title V services contracted and provided through 35 Local Health Jurisdictions and other agencies throughout the state.

Block Grant Funds	
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 9,613,745
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 0
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 6,500,000
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 89,000
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 984,626
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 0
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 17,187,371
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)	
a. Pregnant Women	17,947
b. Infants < 1 year old	 75,602
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	 392,828
d. CSHCN	 11,045
e. Others	 3,983

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

(max 2500 characters)

·Maternity Support Services (MSS): Provided MSS services to over 39,000 pregnant women per year through 110 provider agencies, as a shared program with the Medical Assistance Administration, DSHS.·Toll-Free Phone Line: Healthy Mothers Healthy Babies, the MCH toll-free line received over 47,849 calls in 2002. ·Unintended Pregnancy. Over 45,000 people enrolled in the new "Take Charge" family planning program, starting July 1, 2001 to those below 200% FPL through a five-year Medicaid waiver. ·Regional Genetics Clinics served 7,775 families in calendar year 2002.

b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

- · Healthy Youth Survey: Participated in the development of the Healthy Youth Survey with other state and local agencies. The survey was administered in the fall 2002. Childhood Immunization: the state's policy of providing universal access to vaccine has been expended to include pneumoccal conjugate vaccine; policy decision to add influenza vaccine for healthy infants is currently pending. In CY 02 more than 1 million CHILD Profile health promotion mailings were sent to parents of more than 350,000 children 0-6 years of age. CHILD Profile activities were recognized in fall 2002 with receipt of the National Program Excellence Award from the Society for Public Health Education
- c. Infrastructure Building Services: (max 2500 characters)
- · Early Hearing-loss Detection, Diagnosis & Intervention (EHDDI): the EHDDI Surveillance & Tracking system was completed & pilot testing begun. · Volumes One & Two of the 1996-98 Surveillance Report for Washington PRAMS have been published. See: http://www.doh.wa.gov/cfh/PRAMS/default.htm · The Child Death Review teams reviewed 423 unexpected deaths of Washington children ages birth to eighteen and produced a written summary report. · WA State Dental Sealant & Fluoride Varnish Guidelines updated due to change in state law that allows certain non-dentist providers to make these applications. · Tobacco use in pregnancy. Over 600 MSS providers trained in preparation for MSS performance measure to strengthen screening and assistance offered for smoking cessation and reducing pediatric smoke exposure. · CSHCN Family Advisory Network developed the Family Advisory Network to serve on WISE grant. · Gains have been made in creating child care support for families of children with special health care needs through partnerships. · As of 12/13/02, more than 80% of children under 6 and more than 3.4 million WA residents now have immunization data in the CHILD Profile Registry. · HIV testing during pregnancy: Effective July 02, revised rules adopted for HIV/AIDS counseling for pregnant women to reduce barriers for routine HIV testing of pregnant women.

12. The p	rimary Title V Program contact person:	13. The childre	en with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person
Name	Jan Fleming, MN	Name	Maria Nardella
Title	Director, Office of MCH	Title	Manager of OCSHCN
Address	New Market Industrial Complex, Bldg. 10P.O. Box 4783	Address	New Market Industrial Campus, Bldg. 7P.O. Box 47880

City	Olympia	City	Olympia
State	WA	State	WA
Zip	98504-7880	Zip	98504-7880
Phone	(360) 236-3581	Phone	(360) 236-3573
Fax	(360) 236-2323	Fax	(360) 586-7868
Email	Jan.Fleming@doh.wa.gov	Email	Maria.Nardella@doh.wa.gov
Web		Web	

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

### **FORM 11** TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: WA

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 0:	NICE MEASURE	= # N1
--------------------------	--------------	--------

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive

Annual Objective and Performance 2001  99.5  93.6  44  47	99.6 93.9 46 49	99.
99.5 93.6 44	99.6 93.9 46 49	
93.6 44	93.9 46 49	99.
44	46	
	49	
47		
	Fire all	
	Final	
Annual Objective and Perfo	ormance Data	
2006	2007	2008
99.7 99.7	99.7	99.
	ator, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
	<b>2006</b> 99.7 99.7	99.7 99.7 99.7 99.7 tives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective					54.9
Annual Indicator				54.9	54.9
Numerator					
Denominator		·		·	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		<u>Annual C</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	54.9	54.9	54.9	54.9	54.9

**Annual Indicator** 

Numerator

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	receive coordinate	d, ongoing, compreh	ensive care within a n	nedical home. (CSHC	N Survey)	
			Objective and Perfor			
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Performance Objective						53.6
Annual Indicator				53.6		53.6
Numerator						
Denominator						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
			Objective and Perfor			
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	53.6	53.6	53.6	53.6		53.6
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in anhy th	a Objectives for the	shava vaara Numarat	tor Donominator and	Annual Ind	liantara ai
	not required for futu		above years. Numerat	or, Denominator and	Annuai ind	licators ar
Denominator	•	•				
DEDECORMANCE MEASURE # 04						
	ose families have ac	leguate private and/o	r public insurance to	pay for the services the	nev need. (	CSHCN
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	r public insurance to	pay for the services th	ney need. (	CSHCN
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	ose families have ac		r public insurance to	•	ney need. (	CSHCN
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)	1999	Annual C		•	ney need. ( <b>2003</b>	CSHCN
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		CSHCN 64.4
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)	1999	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective	1999	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2002		64.4
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	1999	Annual C 2000	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2002		64.4
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999	Annual C 2000	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2002		64.4
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999	Annual C 2000	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2002 64.4	2003	64.4
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999	Annual C	Objective and Perfor  2001  Diplomation of the control of the cont	mance Data 2002 64.4 Final	2003 Final	64.4
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999	Annual C 2000  Annual C 2005	Objective and Perfor  2001  Dijective and Perfor  2006	Final  mance Data 2002  64.4  Final  mance Data 2007	2003	64.4
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999	Annual C	Objective and Perfor  2001  Diplomation of the control of the cont	mance Data 2002 64.4 Final	2003 Final	64.4
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	1999 2004 64.4	Annual C 2005  Annual C 2005	Objective and Perfor  2001  Dijective and Perfor  2006	Final  mance Data 2002  64.4  Final  mance Data 2007  64.4	2003 Final	64.4

Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose t Survey)	amilies report the co	ommunity-based	service systems are orga	anized so they can use	e them easily. (CSH
		Ann	ual Objective and Perfo	rmance Data	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective					74.1
Annual Indicator				74.1	74.1
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		•		Final	Final
			ual Objective and Perfo		
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	74.1	74	4.1 74.1	74.1	74.1
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only th	e Objectives for	the above years. Numera	tor. Denominator and	Annual Indicators a
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu		,	,	
Delioniliator					
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06					
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	the services necess	ary to make tran	sition to all aspects of ad	ult life. (CSHCN Surve	ey)
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	the services necess	,	sition to all aspects of ad	•	ey)
	1999	Ann.	ual Objective and Perfo 2001	•	ey) 2003
The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective	1999	Ann.	ual Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data	.,
Annual Performance Objective	1999	Ann. 2000	ual Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data	2003
Annual Performance Objective	1999	2000	ual Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data 2002	<b>2003</b> 5.8
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999	2000	ual Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data 2002	<b>2003</b> 5.8
Annual Indicator Numerator	1999	2000	ual Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data 2002	<b>2003</b> 5.8
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999	2000	ual Objective and Perfo 2001	7 2002 5.8	2003 5.8
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999	2000	ual Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data 2002 5.8 Final	2003 5.8
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999	2000	ual Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data 2002 5.8 Final	2003 5.8
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999	Ann. 2000  Ann. 2005	ual Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data 2002 5.8 Final	2003 5.8 5.8
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 	Ann. 2000 Ann. 2005	ual Objective and Perfo 2001  ual Objective and Perfo 2006	Final  rmance Data 2002  5.8  Final  rmance Data 2007  5.8	2003 5.8 5.8 Final 2008

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 07							
Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of age laemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	e appropriate immur	nizations a	against Mea	sles, Mumps, Rubella	a, Polio, Diphther	ia, Tetanus,	Pertussis,
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	1999	2000		2001	2002	2003	
Annual Performance Objective	82		82	82.5		83	75
Annual Indicator	67.0		72.5	71.2	- 6	9.2	
Numerator	53,298		57,141	56,890	54,	681	
Denominator	79,549		78,816	79,903	79,	019	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor			
	2004	2005	77.0	2006	2007	2008	0.
Annual Performance Objective	76.4		77.9	79.5		80	8
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only th	e Objectiv	ves for the s	shove vears. Numera	tor Denominato	and Annual	Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future	ne Objecti ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominato	and Annual	Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objecti <sup>,</sup> ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominato	and Annual	Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objecti ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominato	and Annual	Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objecti ure year d	ves for the a ata.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominato	and Annual	Indicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objecti ure year d	ata.	above years. Numera		and Annual	Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ne Objectivure year d	ata.			and Annual	Indicators
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	not required for future	ure yéar d	ata.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2002		
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	not required for future 1999 24.5	ure yéar d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2002	2003	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective	1999 24.5 22.4	ure yéar d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2001 23	2002 2	<b>2003</b> 2.2	
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 24.5 22.4 2,699	ure yéar d	Annual C  23.8  20.4	Dbjective and Perfor 2001 23 17.7	2002 2	2003 2.2 6.8	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	1999 24.5 22.4 2,699 120,606	ure yéar d	Annual C 23.8 20.4 2,559	2001 2001 23 17.7 2,251	2002 2 2022 2,	2003 2.2 6.8	Indicators :
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 24.5 22.4 2,699 120,606	ure yéar d	23.8 20.4 2,559 125,235	2001 23 17.7 2,251 127,203	2002 2002 2 1 2, 128, Final	2003 2.2 6.8	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 24.5 22.4 2,699 120,606	2000	23.8 20.4 2,559 125,235	2001  23  17.7  2,251  127,203  Dbjective and Perfor	2002 2 1 2, 128, Final	2003 2.2 6.8 151	
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 24.5 22.4 2,699 120,606	ure yéar d	23.8 20.4 2,559 125,235	2001  23  17.7  2,251  127,203  2bjective and Perfore 2006	2002 2002 2 1 2, 128, Final	2003 2.2 6.8 151 193	16.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 24.5 22.4 2,699 120,606	2000	23.8 20.4 2,559 125,235	2001  23  17.7  2,251  127,203  Dbjective and Perfor	2002 2 1 2, 128, Final	2003 2.2 6.8 151	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 24.5 22.4 2,699 120,606	2000 2005	23.8 20.4 2,559 125,235  Annual C	2001  23  17.7  2,251  127,203  2bjective and Perfore 2006	2002 2, 128, Final 2007	2003 2.2 6.8 151 193 2008	16.

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09  ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	an at least one per	~anant m	alar tooth					
ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one per	manent m		Objective and Per	formanco F	lata		
	1999	2000	Ailliuai	2001	2002	<u>rata</u>	2003	
Annual Performance Objective	45.5		48.2	48	6	48.9		49.3
Annual Indicator	34.0		55.5	55	5	55.5		55.5
Numerator	31,324		50,993	50,99	3	45,800		46,009
Denominator	92,130		91,938	91,93	8	82,570		82,90
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual (	Objective and Per	formance [	ata		
	2004	2005	Ailliau	2006	2007	<u>ata</u>	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	49.6		50	50	3	50.6		50.
Denominator	Please fill in only t not required for fut	he Objecti ture year d	ves for the a	above years. Num	erator, Deno	minator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator	Please fill in only t not required for fut	ture year o	lata.		erator, Deno	minator and	Annual In	dicators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only t not required for ful motor vehicle crash	es per 100	0,000 childre	en. <b>Objective and Pe</b> l	formance [			dicators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	Please fill in only t not required for ful motor vehicle crash	es per 100	Jata. 0,000 childre Annual C	en. Objective and Per 2001	formance I 2002	∂ata	Annual In	
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only t not required for ful motor vehicle crash	es per 100	D,000 childre  Annual C	en. Objective and Per 2001	formance [ 2002 3	data 3		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only t not required for ful motor vehicle crash  1999  3.1  3.2	es per 100	3 3.4	en.  Dbjective and Per  2001	formance [ 2002 3 8	<b>3</b> 2.7		
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only t not required for ful motor vehicle crash 1999 3.1 3.2	es per 100	3 3.4 43	en.  Dbjective and Per  2001  2	formance E 2002 3 8	3 2.7 34		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	motor vehicle crash  1999  3.1  3.2  41  1,261,695	es per 100	3 3.4 43	en.  Dbjective and Per  2001  2	formance E 2002 3 8 5	<b>3</b> 2.7		
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator Numerator	motor vehicle crash  1999  3.1  3.2  41  1,261,695	es per 100	3 3.4 43	en.  Dbjective and Per  2001  2	formance E 2002 3 8	3 2.7 34		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	motor vehicle crash  1999  3.1  3.2  41  1,261,695	es per 100	3 3.4 43 1,255,051	en.  Dbjective and Per  2001  2	formance [ 2002 3 8 5 5 5 Final	3 2.7 34 1,260,062		dicators 2.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only t not required for ful not required for ful motor vehicle crash 3.1 3.2 41 1,261,695	es per 100  2000	3 3.4 43 1,255,051	en.  Display and Perecent 2001  2001  2 3 1,258,88	formance I 2002 3 8 5 5 Final formance I 2007	3 2.7 34 1,260,062		

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11								
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital disc	charge.				_			
	1999	2000	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Da 2002	<u>ata</u>	2003	
Annual Performance Object			90	90.5	2002	91	2003	91.5
•		_						01.0
	87.		88.0	90.0	-	90.0		
Numera	67,400		68,900	69,192	-	68,699		
Denomina	76,849	9	78,291	76,881		76,333		
Is the Data Provisional or Fin	al?				Final			
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ıta</u>		
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	
Annual Performance Object	tive 92	2	92.5	93		93.5		93.
Annual Indica Numera Denomina	Please fill in only not required for the	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Numera Denomina ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Ntor Please fill in only not required for the stor	uture year d	ves for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Ind	dicators
Numera Denomina ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only not required for the	e.	data.	Dbjective and Perfor	mance Da			dicators
Numera  Denomina  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  recentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	Please fill in only not required for interest of the second secon	e.	data.  Annual C	Objective and Perfor		ata .	Annual Inc	
Numera Denomina RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 rcentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before the screened for hearing	Please fill in only not required for the	e. 2000	data.	Dbjective and Perfor	mance Da			
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 rcentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before the company of the compan	Please fill in only not required for the	2000 2	data.  Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Da	ata .		7
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Increntage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before the s	Please fill in only not required for the	2000 2	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Da	ata 50		7 81.
Numera  Denomina  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  rcentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Object  Annual Indica  Numera	Please fill in only not required for the	2000 2 3 1	Annual C  10  22.5  18,212	2001 30 40.9	mance Da	50 62.2		7 81 59,61
Numera  Denomina  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Incentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Object  Annual Indica  Numera	Please fill in only not required for the	2000 2 3 1	Annual C  10  22.5  18,212	2001 30 40.9 32,028	mance Da	50 62.2 47,550		7 81. 59,61
Rumera Denomina  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Object  Annual Indication  Numera  Denomina	Please fill in only not required for the	2000 2 3 1	Annual C  10  22.5  18,212  80,981	2001 30 40.9 32,028	mance Da 2002 Final	50 62.2 47,550 76,458	2003	7 81. 59,61
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 rcentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before the scre	Please fill in only not required for the	2000 2 3 1	Annual C  10  22.5  18,212  80,981	2001 30 40.9 32,028 78,310	mance Da 2002 Final	50 62.2 47,550 76,458	2003	7 81 59,61
Rumera Denomina  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Object  Annual Indica  Numera  Denomina	Please fill in only not required for the	2000 2 3 1 1 2005	Annual C  10  22.5  18,212  80,981	2001  30  40.9  32,028  78,310  20bjective and Perfor	Final	50 62.2 47,550 76,458	2003 Final	7 81. 59,61 73,64
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before annual Performance Object Annual Indica Numera Denomina Is the Data Provisional or Fine	Please fill in only not required for the	2000 2 2005 0	Annual C  22.5  18,212  80,981  Annual C	2001  30  40.9  32,028  78,310  2bjective and Perfore 2006	Final  2007	50 62.2 47,550 76,458	2003 Final	7 81. 59,61 73,64

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13						
Percent of children without health insurance.						
			Objective and Perfor			
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Performance Objective	6.8	6.6	6.5	6.4		6.
Annual Indicator	7.8		7.1	8.6		8.
Numerator	119,526	107,592	107,592	139,297		
Denominator	1,532,386	1,525,907	1,525,907	1,623,925		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	6.2	6.1	6.1	6.1		6
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the aure year data.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indi	icators
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut	ure yéar data.	above years. Numerat	or, Denominator and	Annual Indi	icators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numerat		Annual Indi	icators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for future for futur	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual C 2000	Objective and Perfor 2001		Annual Indi	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for future for futur	ure year data. Medicaid Program. Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	not required for futures for f	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual C  2000	Dbjective and Perfor 2001 89.5 67.4	mance Data 2002		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the control of the con	not required for futures for f	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual C  2000	Objective and Perfor 2001 89.5	mance Data 2002		
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the control of the contr	not required for future service paid by the Market 1999  88.5  93.5	wedicaid Program.  Annual C  2000  90  93.5  444,845	Dbjective and Perfor 2001 89.5 67.4	mance Data 2002 90 91.4		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the control of the con	not required for futures for f	wedicaid Program.  Annual C  2000  90  93.5  444,845	2001 89.5 67.4 242,365	mance Data 2002  90  91.4  587,057		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential secon	not required for futures for f	wedicaid Program.  Annual C  2000  90  93.5  444,845  475,567	2001 89.5 67.4 242,365	90 91.4 587,057 642,455		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the second	not required for futures for f	wedicaid Program.  Annual C  2000  90  93.5  444,845  475,567	2001  89.5  67.4  242,365  359,757  Objective and Perfore 2006	90 91.4 587,057 642,455		
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of potent	not required for futures are required for futu	wedicaid Program.  Annual C  2000  90  93.5  444,845  475,567	2001  89.5  67.4  242,365  359,757   Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2002  90  91.4  587,057  642,455  Final	2003	90. 91.
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for futures and the service paid by the No. 1999  88.5  93.5  444,845  475,567	wedicaid Program.  Annual C 2000  90  93.5  444,845  475,567  Annual C 2005  91.5	2001  89.5  67.4  242,365  359,757  20bjective and Perfor 2006  91.6	mance Data 2002  90  91.4  587,057  642,455  Final  mance Data 2007  91.5	2003	90

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15					
The percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective		0.95	1	1	
Annual Indicator	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Numerator	799	773	825	774	
Denominator	79,666	80,653	79,142	77,970	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective	1	1	1	1	
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	above years. Ivamera	ior, Benominator and	7 miliai malaators
DEDECORMANCE MEACURE # 46					
	•		Objective and Perfor		2003
he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through	1999	Annual ( 2000 11.8	Objective and Perfor 2001 11.7	mance Data 2002 11.7	<b>2003</b> 8.
he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through	1999	2000	2001	2002	
The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among y	1999 11.8 14.8	11.8	2001	11.7	
he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 11.8 14.8 61	2000 11.8 9.8 42	2001 11.7 8.0 35	2002 11.7 8.7	
The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	11.8 14.8 61 412,545	2000 11.8 9.8 42	2001 11.7 8.0 35	2002 11.7 8.7 38	2003
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	11.8 14.8 61 412,545	2000 11.8 9.8 42 427,968	2001 11.7 8.0 35 435,035	11.7 8.7 38 437,828 Final	
he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	11.8 14.8 61 412,545	2000 11.8 9.8 42 427,968	2001 11.7 8.0 35	11.7 8.7 38 437,828 Final	
he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 11.8 14.8 61 412,545 2004	2000 11.8 9.8 42 427,968	2001  11.7  8.0  35  435,035  Objective and Perform	11.7 8.7 38 437,828 Final	8.
The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the Annual Indicator  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	1999 11.8 14.8 61 412,545 2004	2000 11.8 9.8 42 427,968 Annual (2005) 8.2	2001  11.7  8.0  35  435,035  Objective and Perfore 2006	11.7 8.7 38 437,828 Final mance Data 2007	2008

			Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Data			
	1999	2000		2001	2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective			79.4	79.6		79.8		
Annual Indicator			73.1	75.4		82.6		
Numerator	558		520	582		617		
Denominator	756		711	772		747		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final			
			Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Data			
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	
FORMANCE MEASURE # 18	80.2 Please fill in only t not required for ful	ure year da	80.4 es for the ab	80.6 bove years. Numerat	tor, Denomina	80 ator and A	Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	80.2 Please fill in only t not required for ful	ure year da	es for the ab	bove years. Numerat		ator and A	Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	80.2 Please fill in only t not required for ful	ure year da	es for the ab			ator and A	Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only t not required for ful ginning in the first to	ure year da	es for the ab	bove years. Numerat	mance Data	ator and A		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only t not required for ful ginning in the first to	ure year da	es for the at	bove years. Numerated bjective and Perfored 2001	mance Data	ator and A		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only t not required for ful ginning in the first to 1999  84  82.8	ure year da	es for the ab	bjective and Perfor	mance Data 2002	ator and A		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for full ginning in the first to 1999  84  82.8  59,628	ure year da	es for the above	bjective and Perfor 2001 85.5	mance Data 2002	86 83.4		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for full ginning in the first to 1999  84  82.8  59,628  71,985	ure year da	Annual Of 85 82.6 61,700	bjective and Perfor 2001 85.5 83.2 60,771	mance Data 2002	86 83.4 60,076		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for full ginning in the first to 1999  84  82.8  59,628  71,985	ure year da	Annual Of 85 82.6 61,700 74,676	bjective and Perfor 2001 85.5 83.2 60,771	mance Data 2002 6 Final	86 83.4 60,076 72,055		84
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  FORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for full ginning in the first to 1999  84  82.8  59,628  71,985	ure year da	Annual Of 85 82.6 61,700 74,676	bjective and Perfor 2001 85.5 83.2 60,771 73,038	mance Data 2002 6 Final	86 83.4 60,076 72,055		cators

	1999	2000	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data 2002	<u>l</u>	2003	
Annual Performance Objective		2000	47%	44	2002	41	2003	53.9
Annual Indicator			53.0	53.9		55		
	56,382		56,185	56,619				
			106,010	105,140				
Denominatoı Is the Data Provisional or Final?			100,010	105,140	Final			
is the Data i Tovisional of I mai:					imai			
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	<u>l</u>		
	2004	2005		2006	2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective	52.8		51.8	50.7		50		5
TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 percent of pregnant women abstaining from smoking.								
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	l		
	1999	2000	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data 2002	1	2003	
Annual Performance Objective		2000	86.5%	•		88.0%	2003	88.5
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	86.0%	2000		2001			2003	88.5
Annual Indicator	86.0% 85.8 63,824		86.5%	<b>2001</b> 87.5%	2002	88.0%	2003	88.59
Annual Indicator	86.0%		86.5% 86.5	87.5% 87.4	2002	88.0% 88.0	2003	88.59
Annual Indicator	86.0% 85.8 63,824 74,410		86.5% 86.5 66,934	87.5% 87.4 67,779	2002	88.0% 88.0 67,727	2003	88.5%
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	86.0% 85.8 63,824 74,410		86.5% 86.5 66,934 77,384	87.5% 87.4 67,779 77,587	2002 Final	88.0% 88.0 67,727 76,929	2003	88.59
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	86.0% 85.8 63,824 74,410		86.5% 86.5 66,934 77,384	87.5% 87.4 67,779	2002 Final	88.0% 88.0 67,727 76,929	2003	88.59

care provider on test	, ,	· ·			
1000			-	2003	
				2003	90.3
					00.0
		•			
	78,291	76,881			
?			Final		
	Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
e 90.4	90.5	90.6	90.7		90.
_					
hildren with special h	nealth care needs.				
hildren with special h		Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
hildren with special h		Objective and Perfor 2001	mance Data 2002	2003	
·	<u>Annual</u>			2003	10
1999	Annual ( 2000 40	<b>2001</b> 60	2002	2003	10
1999 e <u>26</u> r <u>26</u>	Annual (2000) 40 40	2001 60 63	<b>2002</b> 80	2003	10
1999 26 r 26 r	Annual (2000) 40 40	2001 60 63	<b>2002</b> 80	2003	10
1999 26 r 26 r	Annual (2000) 40 40	2001 60 63	<b>2002</b> 80	2003	10
1999 26 r 26 r	Annual (2000) 40 40	2001 60 63	80 80	2003	10
1999 26 r 26 r	Annual (2000 40 40	2001 60 63	80 80 80 Final	2003	10
1999 26 r 26 r 27 r 2004	Annual (2000)  Annual (2005)	2001 60 63 Objective and Perfore 2006	80 80 Final	2003	
1999 26 r 26 r 27 r 2004	Annual (	2001 60 63 Objective and Perfor	80 80 80 Final		10
1999 26 r 26 r 26 r 27 2004	Annual (2005)  Annual (2005)	2001 60 63 Objective and Perfore 2006	80 80 Final 2007	2008	1
	1999 e 89.5 or 90.4 or 69,509 or 76,849 e 90.4	Annual 1999 2000  e 89.5 90  or 90.4 87.0  or 69,509 68,125  or 76,849 78,291  ?  Annual 2004 2005  e 90.4 90.5  Please fill in only the Objectives for the not required for future year data.	Annual Objective and Performance  1999 2000 2001  e 89.5 90 90.1  or 90.4 87.0 88.0  or 69,509 68,125 67,673  or 76,849 78,291 76,881  ?  Annual Objective and Performance 2004 2005 2006  e 90.4 90.5 90.6  Or Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numeration of required for future year data.	e 89.5 90 90.1 90.2 or 90.4 87.0 88.0 89.0 or 69,509 68,125 67,673 67,934 or 76,849 78,291 76,881 76,333 e Final  Annual Objective and Performance Data 2004 2005 2006 2007 e 90.4 90.5 90.6 90.7  Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and not required for future year data.	Annual Objective and Performance Data 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003  e 89.5 90 90.1 90.2  or 90.4 87.0 88.0 89.0  or 69,509 68,125 67,673 67,934  or 76,849 78,291 76,881 76,333  ? Final  Annual Objective and Performance Data 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008  e 90.4 90.5 90.6 90.7  Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicator required for future year data.

TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5						
o reduce the prevalence of 8th grade youth who report smoking one	or more cigarettes	in the last 30 days.				
			Objective and Perfor			
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Performance Objective			12.3	12.0		11.
Annual Indicator		12.5	12.5	9.2		9.
Numerator		9,644	9,644	6,774		
Denominator		77,149	77,149	73,634		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	11.5	11.3	11.3	11.1		11
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fu	the Objectives for the ture year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in only to not required for fu	ture year data.	od.)		Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in only to not required for fu	ture year data. e visits.(SP 11 Revise	ed.) Objective and Perfor	rmance Data		cators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6  percent of women who are screened for domestic violence during	Please fill in only to not required for further prenatal care 1999	e visits.(SP 11 Revise  Annual	ed.)  Objective and Perfor 2001	rmance Data 2002	Annual Indi	
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6  Percent of women who are screened for domestic violence during  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only to not required for full their prenatal care 1999	e visits.(SP 11 Revise  Annual  2000	ed.)  Objective and Perfor  2001  42%	mance Data 2002 44%		
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 percent of women who are screened for domestic violence during  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only to not required for furnot required for furno	e visits.(SP 11 Revise Annual 2000 40%	od.)  Objective and Perfor  2001  42%  49.0	mance Data 2002 44% 46.0		
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 expercent of women who are screened for domestic violence during  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for full their prenatal care 1999  38.4 29,695	e visits.(SP 11 Revise  Annual  2000  40%  40.0  31,506	ed.)  Objective and Perfor  2001  42%  49.0  37,849	2002 44% 46.0 35,113		
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 e percent of women who are screened for domestic violence during  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for full their prenatal care 1999  38.4  29,695  77,333	e visits.(SP 11 Revise  Annual  2000  40%  40.0  31,506	ed.)  Objective and Perfor 2001  42%  49.0  37,849	######################################		
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 expercent of women who are screened for domestic violence during  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for full their prenatal care 1999  38.4  29,695  77,333	e visits.(SP 11 Revise  Annual  2000  40%  40.0  31,506	ed.)  Objective and Perfor 2001  42%  49.0  37,849	2002 44% 46.0 35,113		
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 e percent of women who are screened for domestic violence during  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for furnot required for furno	visits.(SP 11 Revise  Annual  2000  40%  40.0  31,506  78,765	od.)  Objective and Perfor 2001  42%  49.0  37,849  77,242  Objective and Perfor	### Timance Data  2002  44%  46.0  35,113  76,333  Final	2003	
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 expercent of women who are screened for domestic violence during  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only in not required for full in their prenatal care 1999  38.4  29,695  77,333	2000  40% 40.0 31,506 78,765  Annual	Objective and Perfor 2001  42%  49.0  37,849  77,242  Objective and Perfor 2006	### Additional Control of the Image   ### Additional Control of the Im		46'
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 e percent of women who are screened for domestic violence during  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for furnot required for furno	visits.(SP 11 Revise  Annual  2000  40%  40.0  31,506  78,765	Objective and Perfor 2001  42% 49.0 37,849 77,242  Objective and Perfor 2006	### Timance Data  2002  44%  46.0  35,113  76,333  Final	2003	469

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 7						
ncrease the capacity of MCH to assess mental health needs of the ch	ild and adolescent p	•	•	•	tervention s	ervices.
	1999	Annua 2000	al Objective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data 2002	2003	
Annual Porformance Objective			2001	<b>2002</b> 49	2003	67
Annual Performance Objective						
			_	40.0		56
Numerator			3	6		
Denominator		1	5 15	15		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
		Annu	el Objective and Barto			
	2004	2005	al Objective and Perfo 2006	rmance Data 2007	2008	
Annual Performance Objective		10		100		100
Annual Indicator						
Denominator	not required for futu	ure year data.	e above years. Numera	itor, Denominator and	Annual Ind	icators
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	not required for futu	ure year data.	· 			icators
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	not required for futu	ure yéar data. use, illegal drug us	· 	partum birth control pl		icators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	not required for futu	ure yéar data. use, illegal drug us	e, HIV status, and post	partum birth control pl		icators
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	or smoking, alcohol u	ure year data. use, illegal drug us Annua	e, HIV status, and postp al Objective and Perfo 2001	partum birth control pla	ans.	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 The percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for	or smoking, alcohol u	ure year data.  use, illegal drug us  Annua  2000	e, HIV status, and postpal Objective and Perfo 2001	partum birth control pla rmance Data 2002	ans.	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 The percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for the percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for the percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for the percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for the percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for the percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for the percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for the percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for the percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for the percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for the percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for the percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for the percent of the pe	or smoking, alcohol u	ure year data.  use, illegal drug us  Annua  2000	e, HIV status, and postpal Objective and Perfo 2001 6 59%	partum birth control pla rmance Data 2002 61%	ans.	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 The percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	or smoking, alcohol ut	use, illegal drug us  Annua  2000  579  48.  37,58	e, HIV status, and postpal Objective and Performance 2001 6 59% 0 49.0 2 37,672	partum birth control plants  rmance Data  2002  61%  50.0	ans.	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 The percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	1999 54.0 41,498 76,849	use, illegal drug us  Annua  2000  579  48.  37,58	e, HIV status, and postpal Objective and Performance 2001 6 59% 0 49.0 2 37,672	partum birth control planter partum birth control planter parture part	ans.	63%
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 The percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits fo  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 54.0 41,498 76,849	use, illegal drug us  Annua  2000  579  48.  37,58	e, HIV status, and postpal Objective and Perfo 2001 6 59% 0 49.0 2 37,672	partum birth control planter p	ans.	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 The percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999  54.0 41,498 76,849	use, illegal drug us  Annus  2000  579  48.  37,58  78,29	e, HIV status, and postpal Objective and Perfo 2001  6 59% 0 49.0 2 37,672 1 76,881	partum birth control planta 2002 61% 50.0 38,166 76,333 Final	2003	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8  The percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999  54.0 41,498 76,849	2000 S79 48. 37,58 78,29	e, HIV status, and posts al Objective and Perfo 2001 6 59% 0 49.0 2 37,672 1 76,881 al Objective and Perfo 2006	2002  61%  50.0  38,166  76,333  Final  rmance Data 2007	ans.	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 The percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective	1999  54.0 41,498 76,849	use, illegal drug us  Annus  2000  579  48.  37,58  78,29	e, HIV status, and posts al Objective and Perfo 2001 6 59% 0 49.0 2 37,672 1 76,881 al Objective and Perfo 2006	partum birth control planta 2002 61% 50.0 38,166 76,333 Final	2003	
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 The percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999  54.0  41,498  76,849	2000 579 48. 37,58 78,29 Annua 2005 679	e, HIV status, and posts al Objective and Perfo 2001 6 59% 0 49.0 2 37,672 1 76,881 al Objective and Perfo 2006	partum birth control planta 2002 61% 50.0 38,166 76,333 Final 771	2003 	63%

Denominator

unger due to lack of food.			Annual C	bjective ar	d Porfor	manco Dat	•		
	1999	2000	Annual C	2001	ia Perior	2002	<u>a</u>	2003	
Annual Performance Objective		2000		200.	33	2002	66	2000	80
Annual Indicator			26.7		33.3		53.3		60
Numerator			4		5		8		
			15		15		15		
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?			13		13		13	Final	
is the Data i Tovisional of I mai:								Tillai	
			Annual C	bjective ar	nd Perfor	mance Dat	<u>a</u>		
	2004	2005		2006		2007		2008	
Annual Performance Objective	100		100		100		100		10
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives ure year data	s for the a	bove years.	Numerat	or, Denomii	nator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure yéar data	a.					Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data	a.	bjective ar		mance Dat			cators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  rease statewide system capacity to promote health and safety in c	Please fill in only the not required for future for future fill in only the not required for future fill fill fill fill fill fill fill fil	ure year data	Annual C		nd Perfor		<u>a</u>	Annual Indi	
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rease statewide system capacity to promote health and safety in c	Please fill in only the not required for futural for futural fill care.	2000	Annual C	Objective ar 2001	nd Perfor	mance Dat	<b>a</b> 50		7
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rease statewide system capacity to promote health and safety in c	Please fill in only the not required for future for future fill in only the not required for future fill fill fill fill fill fill fill fil	2000	Annual C	Objective ar 2001	25 5.0	mance Dat	<b>a</b> 50 35.0		7
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  Trease statewide system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in company to the statewise system capacity to promote health and safety in the statewise system capacity to the s	Please fill in only the not required for future for future fill in only the not required fill in only the not required for future fill in only the not required fill in only the not req	2000	Annual C	Objective ar	nd Perfor	mance Dat	<b>a</b> 50		7
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  Trease statewide system capacity to promote health and safety in c  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for futural fill care.	2000	Annual C	Objective ar	25 5.0	mance Dat	<b>a</b> 50 35.0		7
Numerator  Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  rease statewide system capacity to promote health and safety in c  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futural fill care.	2000	Annual C	Objective ar	25 5.0	mance Dat	50 35.0		7
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 rease statewide system capacity to promote health and safety in c  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futural fill care.	2000	Annual C	Objective ar 2001	25 5.0 1 20	mance Date 2002	50 35.0 7 20		7
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  rease statewide system capacity to promote health and safety in c  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futural for futural fill care.	2000	Annual C	Objective ar 2001	25 5.0 1 20	mance Date 2002	50 35.0 7 20	2003	7
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  crease statewide system capacity to promote health and safety in c  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for futural fill care.  1999  2004	2000	Annual C	Objective ar 2001	25 5.0 1 20	mance Date 2002	35.0 7 20		
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  crease statewide system capacity to promote health and safety in c  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futural fill care.  1999  2004	2000	Annual C	Objective ar 2001	25 5.0 1 20	mance Date 2002	50 35.0 7 20	2003	

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note: 2003 not available.

The percent of newborns in the State with at least one screening for each of PKU, hypothyroidism, galactosemia, hemoglobinapathies with appropriate referral. These data come from 6. The numerator is the number of live born infants born in Washington that were reported by the Office of Newborn Screening as screened and were a confirmed case that received treatment. The denominator is the number that were screened and were a confirmed case. In 2002, 99.4% of newborns received a newborn screening. The state currently screens for adrenal hyperplasia, PKU, hypothyroidism, and hemoglobinopathies. Washington started screening for galactosemia in 2003. See Form 6 for details on conditions.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The source is the CHSCN Survey from the MCHB. No new data are available.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The source is the CHSCN Survey from the MCHB. No new data are available.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The source is the CHSCN Survey from the MCHB. No new data are available.

. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The source is the CHSCN Survey from the MCHB. No new data are available.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The source is the CHSCN Survey from the MCHB. No new data are available.

Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

2003 Data not available

Numerator data came from the National Immunization Survey 2002, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). This estimate is based on the provider-verified responses for children who live in households with telephones. Statistical methods are used to adjust for children whose parents refuse to participate, those who live in households without telephones, or those whose immunization histories cannot be verified through their providers. The numerator is the estimated number of children with completed immunizations. Denominator data came from the Washington State Office of Financial Management. We adjusted the previous year's data to include only 2 year olds per the detail sheet for this performance measure.

8. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

2003 data not available.

The source of these data is the Washington Center for Health Statistics Birth Certificate files (updated annually between September and October). The numerator is defined as the number of live births to women ages 15-17. The denominator is the estimate of 15-17 year old women in Washington on November 2003, from Office of Financial Management. Missing data are excluded. Less than 1% of the age data are missing.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Not new data available.

These data were obtained from the Smile Survey 2000. The percent of third grade children who have received protective sealants on at least one permanent molar tooth is 55.5 (95% Confidence Interval is 52.7-58.3). For this survey, an electronic list of all public elementary schools in Washington was obtained from the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction. Fifty-five schools with at least 25 children in second and/or third grade were randomly selected for participation. Seven of the schools refused to participate resulting in 48 schools with an enrollment of 6,814 children in second and third grade. Of the total 2,699 children who participated, 1,217 were in third grade. Schools who participated were more likely to have a low-income student body, and students who participated were also more likely to be low income. The children taking

part in this survey are not representative of the state as a whole, since both minority children and low-income children were over-sampled. Since income has been shown to be related to sealant use, this estimate may underestimate the true percentage of third graders with at least one sealant on a permanent molar tooth. The denominator is the estimated number of 8 year-old children in 2000 reported by the Office of Financial Management, 2002.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

2003 data not available.

The source of the data is the Washington State Center for Health Statistics Death Certificate Files (updated annually between September and October). The numerator is defined as the number of Motor Vehicle Crash (MVC) deaths occurring to children aged 0-14 years. The denominator is the estimate number of children 0-14 years old in 2001 in Washington from the Office of Financial Management. The numerator data represent unintentional motor vehicle traffic-related deaths with the following ICD-10 codes: ICD-10 codes: V30-39(.4-.9), V40-49(.4-.9), V50-59(.4-.9), V60-69(.4-.9), V70-79(.4-.9), V81.1,V82.1,V83-V86 (.0-.3), V20-28(.3-.9), V29 (.4-.9), V12-14 (.3-.9), V19 (.4-.6), V02-04(.1-.9), V09.2,V80(.3-.5),V87(.0-.8),V89.2.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The source of these data is the 2001 Washington State Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS). The 2002 PRAMS data are delayed at the CDC and were not available for reporting this year. The numerator is based on the estimated proportion of women who reported breastfeeding at any time in PRAMS. The denominator was obtained from the live birth file, for Washington residents with plurality of 1 or first birth order. Note- we have revised the denominator and numerator data for the previous year based on the new methodology for the denominator.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

In CY 2003, 81% of infants born in Washington hospitals received newborn hearing screening (59,619 hospital births in Washington (73,649).

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

No new data for 2003.

The data source is the 2002 Washington State Population Survey, from the Washington State Office of Financial Management (OFM). The State Population Survey is a telephone-based survey that takes place every two years. Children include persons 0 through age 18. Insurance status was based on time of interview. Estimates are adjusted for missing income or insurance status data.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a service paid by the Medicaid Program.

2002 Indicator - 91.4% Numerator - 587057 Denominator - 642455

Technical Note: The source of these data is the Washington State Department of Social and Health Services 2002 Client Data. The numerator represents the unduplicated count of Medical Assistance Administration clients under age 18 who received one or more medical services (including in- and out-patient hospital services, physician services, dental services, prescription drugs, managed care, or other medical services) in 2002. The denominator includes the unduplicated count of clients under age 18 enrolled at any time during the year with the Medical Assistance Administration. In addition to federally-funded services, Washington State covers the cost of services to non-Medicaid eligible children under the age of 18 with family incomes below 100% of the federal poverty level.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

2003 data are not available.

Very low birth weight (VLBW) is defined as any live born infant weighing less than 1500 grams. These data come from the Washington State Center for Health Statistics Birth Certificate Files and are updated annually. The numerator represents the number of resident infants born weighing between less than 1500 grams. The denominator represents all resident live births in the reporting year. Missing data are excluded. 1.3% of the weight data are missing.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

2003 data not available.

The numerator for this rate is defined as the number deaths with ICD 10 Codes X60-X84 and Y87.0 and U03 for youth ages 15-19. The denominator is the estimated population for ages 15-19. The rate is per 100,000 population. The source for the data is the Washington Center for Health Statistics Death Certificate files (updated annually between September and October) and the Office of Financial Management, Intercensal and Postcensal Estimates of County Population by Age and Sex.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

2003 data not available.

The numerator is determined by the number of resident very low birth weight (VLBW) births that occur in-state delivered at a hospital providing perinatal intensive care (level

III). The denominator represents the total number of VLBW resident infants born in-state. The source for this data is the Washington Center for Health Statistics Birth Certificate Files (updated annually between September and October). Missing data are excluded. 0.5% of the weight data are missing.

#### 18. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

2003 data not available.

The numerator is the number of resident live births with a reported first prenatal visit before 13 weeks gestation. The denominator is the total number of resident live births. Missing data are excluded. In 2002, 8.8% of the data was missing for this measure. The source for these data is the Washington Center for Health Statistics Birth Certificate Files (updated annually between September and October).

#### 19. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

2002 PRAMS data not available.

This numerator for this measure is derived from [the estimated percentage of unintended pregnancies from Washington State Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) survey \*(resident live births + reported resident abortions. The denominator for this measure is the number of resident live births + reported resident abortions. Birth and Abortion data are obtained from the Washington State Center for Health Care Statistics Birth, Fetal Death, and Abortion files for 2002. PRAMS 2001 data are used here since the 2002 data have been delayed at the CDC and were unavailable.

#### 20. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

2003 data not available.

The source for these data is the Washington State Center for Health Statistics Birth Certificate file. The numerator is the number of resident women who reported abstaining from smoking during pregnancy on the birth certificate. The denominator is all resident births in the reporting year. 2.6% of the data were missing in 2002 for this measure and are excluded from the denominator.

#### 21. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

2002 PRAMS data not available.

The source for the data is the 2001 Washington State PRAMS. The 2002 data are delayed at the C DC and were unavailable. The measure is defined as the estimated proportion of women who reported that their health care provider talked to them about genetic testing or birth defect screening. The denominator was obtained from the live birth file, for Washington residents with plurality of 1 or first birth order. Note- we have revised the denominator and numerator data for the previous year based on the new methodology for the denominator.

#### 22. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The source of the data is the Washington State CSHCN Program.

Year 4 (October 2002-September 2003) Target: 80%

Score: Cumulative Score: 80%

Benchmarks for Year 4:

1. Improvement of Child Health Intake Form (CHIF) automated system

v Work Done in Year 4:In March 2003, thirty Local CSHCN Programs participated in CHIF Trainings and quality improvement sessions conducted in Spokane and Olympia, Washington. The State CSHCN Program produced materials for the CSHCN Manual, including a "When to CHIF" document, a decision tree and flow care of reporting processes. Implementation of these requirements will occur in January 2004. Development of the standard criteria for enrolling a child with special needs into the CHIF database included input from local CSHCN Program staff. Continued data quality improvement strategies are in the early development stages.

2. Develop a work plan to target data systems beyond the public sector to learn about the prevalence of children with special needs. Included in this plan will be outreach to private insurers, Indian Health, military, Basic Health, undocumented and the uninsured. Developing relationships with these other systems and identifying barriers to securing.

§ Work Done in Year 4:As part of the MCH 5 Year Needs Assessment, the CSHCN Program developed a tentative work plan and created the CSHCN Road show. This CSHCN Road show was used to share current data sources on children with special needs and to solicit a list of additional data sources and contacts. The CSHCN Program also meets regularly with the Basic Health liaison and has actively collected qualitative data from Local CSHCN Programs regarding the impact of undocumented children with special needs to their program. Additionally, data from the National CSHCN Survey provided information on the children with special health care needs who were not insured during 2001. Current work consists of finalizing a formal work plan to redefine the scope of this objective, clarify use of data and connect with those entities that could provide desired data. This work will be ongoing.

3. Initiate BD surveillance pilot.

Work Done in Year 4:BD Surveillance pilot initiated and will coordinate with local CSHCN Coordinators to implement objectives. In September 2002, the CDC BD Surveillance grant was discontinued and the grant coordinator moved to a new position. As a result, both MCH Assessment and the CSHCN Program will evaluate how to proceed without a grant supporting the BD Surveillance efforts.

#### 23. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note: No new data

The percentage of children in the 8th grade that had smoked cigarettes within the past 30 days is 9.2% (95% CI is ±1.1). These data were obtained from the Washington State 2002 Healthy Youth Survey. The Healthy Youth Survey is a collaborative effort of the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction, the Department of Health, the Department of Social and Health Service's Division of Alcohol and Substance Abuse, and the Office of Community Development. In the Fall of 2002, students in grades 6, 8, 10 and 12 answered questions about safety and violence, physical activity and diet, alcohol, tobacco and other drug use, and related risk and protective factors. A simple random sample of schools was drawn. All students in grades 6, 8, 10, 12 in selected schools were invited to participate. The Healthy Youth Survey will next be administered in the Fall of 2004. The Healthy Youth Survey provides important information about adolescents in Washington. County prevention coordinators, community mobilization coalitions, community public health and safety networks, and others use this information to guide policy and programs that serve youth. The information from the Healthy Youth Survey can be used to identify trends in the patterns of behavior over time. The state-level data can be used to compare Washington to other states that do similar

surveys and to the nation. The denominator represents the number of children enrolled in the 8th grade public schools in 2002, as reported in the 2002 Juvenile Justine Report, Office of Juvenile Justice.

24. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The source of the data is 2001 Washington State Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) survey. The 2002 data are delayed at the C DC and were unavailable The measure is defined as the estimated proportion of women who reported that their health care provider talked to them about physical abuse by their husbands or partners. The denominator was obtained from the live birth file, for Washington residents with plurality of 1 or first birth order. Note- we have revised the denominator and numerator data for the previous year based on the new methodology for the denominator.

25. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Target 67% (10 of 15 benchmarks)

Year 3 Score: 12.2% Cumulative Score: 55.5 %

1. Solicited additional funding for mental health assessment, as needed. (Score: 6.6%)

- MCH solicited additional resources to assist with mental health assessment. An application was submitted for a CDC Public Health Prevention Service fellow. The application was not accepted. MCH funding has been allocated for a Child Development Specialist who started March 2003 to focus on mental health needs in the MCH population.
- Developed a Mental Health promotion plan for MCH(Score:6.6%)
- The Child Development Specialist developed a work plan to address this objective. Included in the work plan are the following strategies:
- Disseminate information about children's mental health Partnerships for Supporting Children's Mental Health email distribution list. Seventy people were on the list by September 2003.
- Participate in regional meetings with local MCH staff to identify issues, barriers and effective strategies related to mental health and to facilitate coordination of state and local efforts.
- Conduct a literature review to identify children's mental health policy issues, and planning and implementation strategies.
- A work plan was also developed for the OMCH Mental Health Work Group. This Work Group coordinates the mental health promotion, prevention and intervention efforts within OMCH at the state level. It also connects with broader public health initiatives, for example physical activity and nutrition. The Work Group also provides technical assistance and serves in an advisory capacity to the Child Development Specialist
- OMCH worked with the Washington Health Foundation to bring together state and local, public and private partners to begin to address identification of issues, coordination of services and planning for the future of children's mental health in Washington State. This group is called Partnerships for Supporting Children's Mental Health. They are looking at children's mental health across the continuum of health promotion, prevention, intervention and treatment. Partnerships include the Division of Mental Health, in the Department of Social and Health Services, Region X Health Resources and Services Administration, and the State Education Agency. The information gathered by this group will inform the MCH planning process. A desired outcome of this initiative is a mental health promotion plan for MCH.
- Efforts have been made to coordinate MCH mental health planning with other MCH planning efforts including the Early Childhood Comprehensive Systems Grant, the Adolescent Health Improvement Plan and the WISE Grant for CSHCN.

2. Solicited funding to implement the plan. (Score:0%)

The OMCH Mental Health Work Group has had discussions regarding reapplying for the CDC Public Health Prevention Service fellow, possible interns to work on assessment and presenting a proposal to the OMCH Management Team at a future date.

26. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The source of these data is 2001 Washington State Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) survey. The 2002 data are delayed at the C DC and were unavailable. The measure is defined as the estimated proportion of women who reported that their health care provider talked to them about smoking, alcohol use, illegal drug use, getting tested for HIV status and postpartum birth control plans. The denominator was obtained from the live birth file, for Washington residents with plurality of 1 or first birth order. Note- we have revised the denominator and numerator data for the previous year based on the new methodology for the denominator.

27. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Year 2 Activities Score: 9.9% Cumulative Score: 63.5%

- 3. Completed Strategic Plan to promote and protect Food Security for MCH population. Plan will specify indicators, interventions, data collection, outcomes, and evaluation. (Score 3.3%)
- § While a formal strategic plan was not completed, MCH continued to work towards improving the nutritional status of the MCH population including:
- · A contract was established with the University of Washington to guide the development of an MCH food security strategic plan.
- · Data Collection and identifying Indicators:
- · Stakeholder Input through the WA Anti-Hunger Nutrition Coalition (AHNC), closely affiliated with the Children's Alliance, is a non-profit statewide organization dedicated to reducing food insecurity.
- · Key Findings and Themes for Intervention: WA in general, including the MCH population, has persistent food insecurity and hunger problems. There is a comparatively high prevalence of food insecurity, a significant gap between program eligibility and some food security program participation, lack of awareness on the part of the public and leaders and several groups at risk including minorities, non-English speaking, women (particularly single heads of households and younger mothers), youth in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12, poor/working poor and those living in rural counties. Based on data and stakeholder input analysis, five themes for potential objectives emerged: Access, Data/Reporting, Advocacy/Education, Organization/Coordination, and Improvements to WIC.
- · Priority objectives identified by stakeholders, and estimated resources were discussed at the September 2003 CFH Nutrition Workgroup meeting.
- 4. Sought and obtained resource commitments for interventions and evaluation. (Score 6.6%)
- · MCH Block Grant funds supported the work done through the University of Washington contract through September 2003, when the contract ended.
- · Collaborative work continued in 2003 with the Basic Food Nutrition Education Program and the Summer Food Service Program at OSPI. Increased outreach to agencies serving the MCH population resulted in higher participation rates in 2003 in these two federally supported programs.

- The Community Wellness and Prevention section at the Washington Department of Health created a Nutrition & Physical Activity Section. The section released a Washington State Nutrition and Physical Activity Plan in June 2003 and includes a reduction of hunger and food insecurity as one of its nutrition objectives and priority recommendations. MCH will take advantage of this plan and work with CWP.
- · The CFH Nutrition Workgroup has included food security and hunger in its top priorities for action.
- · The MCH Managers and Director were presented with the prioritized objectives.

Additional MCH funding and staff commitments for Year 4 have not yet been established.

28. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

Year 3 (October 2002-September 2003) (CURRENT YEAR REPORTING ON)

Year 3 Target: Target 65% (13 out of 20 benchmarks) Score from Previous Year Activities Completed in Year 3: 12% Score from Year 4-5 Activities Completed in Year 2: 1%

Year 3 Score:13.5 Cumulative Score: 59.5% Benchmarks for Year 3:

- 1. Develop core competencies for child care health consultation (5%)
- · Year 2: None
- · Year 3: Developed core competencies w/ stakeholders and integrated into evaluation process and tools for CCHC. (Score 5%)
- 2. Integrate scientific knowledge into policy and practice as it relates to health and safety in child care (e.g. through training plan, core competencies, etc.) (5%)
- Year 2: Final phases of 'orientation' packet and 'resource kit' for CCHC's; ongoing activity as we modify trainings, resources, and implement evaluation work (Score 4 %)
- · Year 3: Completed CCHC Resource Kit and CD integrating all training modules revised in new template format for consistency. Distributed to all LHJs statewide. Additionally, developed new modules to address Emergency Preparedness and National Health and Safety Standards, "Caring for Our Children" (Score 1%)
- 3. Identify integration opportunities regarding Immunization WAC for child care and the scope of local child care health consultation (5%)
- · Year 2: negotiation with DSHS/Division of Child Care and Early Learning (DCCEL) re: integration of immunization WAC into child care WAC (Score 2.5%)
- Year 3: Creating pilot project for CCHCs to improve immunization status in child care by utilizing the CHILD Profile Immunization Registry as a result of AG opinion allowing CCHC access to the Registry. Additionally partnering with DCCEL licensors to assist child care providers to meet the CC Immunization WAC. (Score 2.5%) 4. Provide resources for outreach, education, regarding children's access to health insurance and a medical home (5%)
- Year 2: working with Wa AAP and EPSDT Improvement Team and grant work. (Score 1%)
- Year 3: Partnered with WaAAP to facilitate 2 multidisciplinary focus groups, one on each side of the state, to promote medical providers role in health and safety in child care. HCCW provided each physician with copy of "Pediatricians Role in Child Care" (Score 2%)
- 5. Communicate the work of HCCW through development of brochures, web-page, annual report, and fact sheets designed for various audiences Year 3: Developed new HCCW Brochure and in created new web-page in partnership with Washington State Child Care Resource & Referral Network (Score 3%)

### FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [Secs 505 (A)(2)(B)(m) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(m)] STATE: WA

e infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.			Annual O	bjective and Perfo	rmanco Data		
	1999	2000	Alliluai O	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Performance Objective	5.	6	5.5	5.5	5.4		5.
Annual Indicator	5.	)	5.2	5.8	5.7		
Numerator	40		423	461	452		
	79,57		81,004	79,542	79,003		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		
			Annual O	bjective and Perfo	rmance Data		
	2004	2005		2006	2007	2008	
			5.1				
Denominator	Please fill in only not required for	4b - Obi4i		bove years. Numera	ntor, Denominator an	d Annual Indi	cator
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only not required for	4b - Obi4i		bove years. Numera	ator, Denominator an	d Annual Indi	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only not required for	4b - Obi4i	ves for the a lata.	bove years. Numera		d Annual Indi	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	Please fill in only not required for rate.	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a lata.			d Annual Indi	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in only not required for rate.	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a ata.	bjective and Perfo	rmance Data	2003	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	Please fill in only not required for trate.	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a ata.	bjective and Perfo 2001	rmance Data 2002	2003	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for the required for the rate.  1999 2. 3.	the Objecti uture year o	Annual O	Objective and Perfo 2001 2.1	rmance Data 2002 2.1 1.7	2003	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TCOME MEASURE # 02  ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for rate.  1999 2. 3.	the Objecti uture year o	Annual O	2001 2.1	rmance Data 2002 2.1 1.7	2003	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	rate.  1999  2.  3.  13.	2000	Annual O  2.2  1.4  7.2	2001 2001 2.1 2.1 11.6	rmance Data 2002 2.1 1.7 8.9	2003	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	rate.  1999  2.  3.  13.	2000	Annual O  2.2  1.4  7.2	2001 2001 2.1 2.1 11.6	2002 2.1 1.7 8.9 5.2	2003	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TCOME MEASURE # 02 e ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for trate.  1999 2. 3. 13.	2000	Annual O  2.2  1.4  7.2  5	2001  2.1  2.1  11.6  5.5	rmance Data 2002  2.1  1.7  8.9  5.2  Final	2003	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TCOME MEASURE # 02 ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for the rate.  1999 2. 3. 4.	2000	Annual O  2.2  1.4  7.2  5	2001 2001 2.1 2.1 11.6 5.5	rmance Data 2002 2.1 1.7 8.9 5.2 Final	2003	icators

The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	
1999 2000 2001 2002	
Appual Performance Objective 34 34 34 33	2003
Allitual Ferioritianice Objective 5.1 5.1	3
Annual Indicator 3.2 3.1 3.7 3.6	
<b>Numerator</b> 257 248 292 287	
<b>Denominator</b> 79,577 81,004 79,542 79,003	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	
Annual Objective and Performance Data	
2004 2005 2006 2007	2008
Annual Performance Objective 3.2 3.1	
Annual Indicator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and A	annual Indicators
Numerator Numerator Not required for future year data.	illiuai illuicators
Denominator	
DITCOME MEASURE # 04	
	2003
e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Objective and Performance Data 1999 2000 2001 2002	2003
e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Objective and Performance Data 1999 2000 2001 2002  Annual Performance Objective 2.2 2.1 2.1 2.1	2003
te postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.    Annual Objective and Performance Data   1999   2000   2001   2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective   1,000 live births.   Annual Objective and Performance Data   1999   2000   2001   2002	2003
Annual Performance Objective   2.2   2.1   2.1   2.1   2.1   2.1   Numerator   144   175   169   165	2003
Annual Performance Objective   2.2   2.1	2003
Annual Performance Objective   2.2   2.1	2003
Annual Mobiective and Performance Data   1999   2000   2001   2002	2003
1999   2000   2001   2002	
Annual Performance Objective   2.2   2.1	2008

Denominator

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05							
The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.							
			ual Objective and Pe		<u>a</u>		
	1999	2000	2001	2002		2003	
Annual Performance Objective	8.5		8.4	3.4	8.3	_	8.3
Annual Indicator			7.7	3.2	8.3		
Numerator	674	-	628 6	52	657		
Denominator	80,045	81,4	79,9	60	79,437		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final			
		Ann	ual Objective and Pe	rformance Data	a		
	2004	2005	2006	2007	_	2008	
Annual Performance Objective	8.2		8.2	_			
Annual Indicator							
	Diagon fill in only th	ne Objectives for	the above years. Num	erator, Denomir	nator and A	Annual Ind	icators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for ure year data.	the above years. Num	erator, Denomir	nator and A	Annual Ind	icators
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure yéar data.	the above years. Num  ual Objective and Pe			Annual Ind	icators
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. Ann 2000	ual Objective and Pe 2001	rformance Data			
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure yéar data.  Ann 2000	ual Objective and Pe 2001	rformance Data 2002 .4	<u>a</u>		
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Ann 2000 2	ual Objective and Pe 2001 1.5 21	rformance Data 2002 .4	<b>a</b> 21		
Numerator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Ann 2000 2	ual Objective and Pe 2001 1.5 21 8.6 17 219 2	rformance Data 2002 .4 .9	21 18.5		
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	1999 21.6 19.4 234 1,205,133	Ann 2000 2	ual Objective and Pe 2001 1.5 21 8.6 17 219 2	rformance Data 2002 .4 .9	21 18.5 218		20.
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 21.6 19.4 234 1,205,133	Ann 2000 2 1,177,3	ual Objective and Per 2001 1.5 27 8.6 17 219 2 111 1,180,7	rformance Data 2002 .4 .9 11 12 1,1	21 18.5 218 181,317		
Numerator Denominator  PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 21.6 19.4 234 1,205,133	Ann 2000 2 1,177,3	ual Objective and Pe 2001 1.5 21 8.6 17 219 2	rformance Data 2002 .4 .9 11 12 1,1	21 18.5 218 181,317		
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	1999 21.6 19.4 234 1,205,133	Ann 2000 2 1 1,177,3 Ann 2005	ual Objective and Per 2001  1.5 27  8.6 17  1.19 2  1.1 1,180,7	rformance Data 2002 .4 .9 11 Final	21 18.5 218 181,317	2003	
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	1999 21.6 19.4 234 1,205,133	Ann 2000 2 1,177,5 Ann 2005 1	ual Objective and Per 2001  1.5 24  8.6 17  219 2  111 1,180,7  ual Objective and Per 2006	rformance Data 2002 .4 .9 111 12 1,1 Final rformance Data 2007	21 18.5 218 181,317	2003	20.
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future future for future fill in only the not required for future for	Ann 2000 2 1,177,5 Ann 2005 1	ual Objective and Pe  2001  1.5 21  8.6 17  119 2  111 1,180,7  ual Objective and Pe  2006	rformance Data 2002 .4 .9 111 12 1,1 Final rformance Data 2007	21 18.5 218 181,317	2003	20.

Denominator

#### Outcome Measure 01:

The infant mortality rate per 1000 live births.

Infant mortality rate is defined as the (number of deaths to children less than one-year old divided by the total number of live births) \*1000. The source for these data is Mortality Table F1 reported in the Washington State Vital Statistics Report, 2002, from linked Birth and Death Certificate Files.

#### Outcome Measure 02:

The ratio of the black infant mortality to the white infant mortality.

The ratio is represented by the mortality rate for black infants/mortality rate for white infants by the definition given in (1). The source for these data is Mortality Table F6 reported in the Washington State Vital Statistics Report, 2002, from linked Birth and Death Certificate Files. The race of the mother is used.

#### Outcome Measure 03:

The neonatal mortality rate per 1000 live births.

The rate is determined by (the number of resident infant deaths occurring within the first 27 days of life divided by the total number resident live births)\*1000. The source for these data is Mortality Table F8 reported in the Washington State Vital Statistics Report, 2002, from linked Birth and Death Certificate Files.

#### Outcome Measure 04:

The postneonatal mortality rate per 1000 live births.

This rate is determined by (The number of deaths occurring to resident infants 28-364 days of age divided by the total number of resident live births)\*1000. The source for these data is Mortality Table F8 reported in the Washington State Vital Statistics Report, 2002, from linked Birth and Death Certificate Files.

#### Outcome Measure 05:

The perinatal mortality rate per 1000 live births.

The rate is determined by [The number of resident fetal deaths > 20 weeks gestation + resident infant deaths within the first 6 days of life divided by the total resident live births + Fetal deaths]\*1000. The source for these data is Mortality Table F8 reported in the Washington State Vital Statistics Report, 2002, from linked Birth and Death Certificate Files.

#### Outcome Measure 06::

The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1-14.

The source for this data is the Washington Čenter for Health Statistics Death Certificate Files (updated annually between September and October) and reported in Washington State Vital Statistics. The rate reflects deaths to children ages 1-14 from all causes divided by the population ages 1-14. The population figures are from Office of Financial Management.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: WA 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 3 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 3 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 3 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 3 Total Score: 18 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met

3 = Completely Met

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

## FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: WA FY: 2005

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase, list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Improving access to comprehensive prenatal care.
- 2. Improving oral health status and access to oral health care services.
- 3. Improving the coordination of services for children with special health care needs.
- 4. Improving early identification, diagnosis and intervention services and coordination of services.
- 5. Decreasing family violence.
- 6. Decreasing unintended pregnancy and teenage pregnancy.
- 7. Improving mental health status.
- 8. Ensuring surveillance capacity for children with special health care needs.
- Decreasing tobacco use.
- 10. Improving nutritional status.

None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

## FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: WA APPLICATION YEAR: 2005

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
1.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the		Improve quality of data collected on CSHCN utilizing local public health CSHCN programs to include elements of ethnicity, education, and economic levels so information can be used in program development.	Expert trainer in cultural competency and interviewing skills.
2.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:	u selected State or National formance Measure Issue agories above, identify the commance measure to which this e pertains by entering the		DHHS Office of Minority Health
3.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:	Performance Measure Targets	To assist OMCH develop the skills to develop realistic targets for the national and state performance measures for the MCHBG.	Expert facilitator in establishing targets for performance measures.
4.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:	Integration	OMCH needs expert facilitation to focus on intra-agency collaboration to improve the health services system for children and families.	Expert facilitator in systems integration.
5.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:	al Systems Capacity Issues selected State or National mance Measure Issue ries above, identify the mance measure to which this pertains by entering the  Fragile X Education		Nationally recognized speaker on testing for Fragile X.
6.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:	Logic Models	OMCH needs training on developing and using logic models as a tool for decision-making.	Expert training in logic model use and development.
7.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:	Adolescent Health	The OMCH needs assistance to collaborate with other state and territorial adolescent health coordinators in order to improve access to national resources and experts on adolescent health.	МСНВ
8.	State Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: 9	Nutrition	Mobilize MCH Nutrition Team to enist support of partners to address hunger and food security in the MCH population.	Expert to review Strategic Plan and food security activities.
9.	State Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:  6	Performance Measure Issues selected State or National rmance Measure Issue ories above, identify the rmance measure to which this pertains by entering the		Dr. Linda Chamberlain, a nationally recognized expert on domestic violence.
10.	State Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the	Healthy Relationships	The Healthy Relationship Project would like some technical assistance from other MCH state youth projects to review current proposals and results, develop a work plan, and provide guidance.	A person who has a project within their state that focuses on prevention of intimate partner violence.

	measure number here: 6			
	State Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:  6	Family Violence Prevention Fund (FVPF) Meeting	OMCH would like funding to allow OMCH staff to present at the FVPF meeting in October 2004.	МСНВ
12.	National Performance Measure Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: 2	Decision-Making and Comprehensive Care for Children with Special Health Care Needs	OMCH needs training related to family leadership for children with special health care needs and parent consultants.	Consultant from the National Center for Cultural Competence.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

## FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: WA

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of pregnancies (live births, fetal deaths, abortions) that are unintended.

STATUS: Activ

**GOAL** Reduce the number of unplanned pregnancies.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Numerator: Estimate of all unintended births from PRAMS data, similar proportion of fetal deaths, plus all abortions.

Denominator:

Denominator: All live births and fetal deaths plus abortions.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES PRAMS and WA State Center for Health Statistics abortion data files. This estimate assumes all abortions are the result of

unintended pregnancy and that the proportion of fetal deaths which are unintended is the same as the proportion of live births. A small percentage of abortions will be due to fetal or maternal condition and not the result of an unintended

pregnancy.

SIGNIFICANCE Unintended pregnancy is correlated with late or inadequate prenatal care, low birth weight, neonatal death, domestic

violence, child abuse, and exposure of the fetus to harmful substances like tobacco, alcohol, and other drugs. It is associated with social and economic co-factors such as economic hardship, marital dissolution, failure to achieve

educational goals, and spousal abuse.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of pregnant women abstaining from smoking.

STATUS: Activ

GOAL Increase abstinence from tobacco during pregnancy.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of women reporting tobacco use during pregnancy from Birth Certificate data.

**Denominator:** All live births.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Birth Certificate data.

SIGNIFICANCE

Maternal smoking during pregnancy is a risk factor for low birth weight, the leading cause of infant mortality as well as

congenital malformations.

Percent of women who receive counseling from their prenatal health care provider on tests for identifying birth defects or **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

genetic disease.

STATUS:

GOAL Ameliorate the consequences of disorders through prenatal counseling and specialized obstetric and neonatal care.

**DEFINITION** 

Number of women who received prenatal care prior to the birth of their child and who were offered counseling on tests for

identifying birth defects or genetic disease.

**Denominator:** All women delivering a baby in the State of Washington.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

**PRAMS** 

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Approximately three percent of all families have a risk of having a newborn with a genetic disorder. Approximately 60% of fetuses with Down Syndrome and 98% of fetuses with an abdominal wall defect or neural tube defect can be identified by MSAFP screening during pregnancy. Prenatal genetic counseling and testing is a valuable way to diagnose and provide for interventions for babies born with birth defects and genetic conditions.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Establish state and local capacity for determining the prevalence of children with special health care needs.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To develop a systematic means of providing reliable data on the prevalence of children with special health care needs.

**DEFINITION** See notes for a detailed list of benchmarks and how they are described in percentages.

Numerator:

The number of performance measure benchmarks Washington has reached towards establishing state and local capacity

for determining the prevalence of children with special health care needs.

Denominator:

Total number of benchmarks for this measure (9).

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

The State CSHCN program; National Health Institute Disability Supplemental Data; State Department of Social and Health **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Services Medical Assistance Administration data; Vital Statistics and Hospital Discharge data; health care plans, others. The process of increasing assessment capacity for children with special health care needs is evolving. Little experience in utilizing secondary data sets for children with special health care needs exist. Primary data collection has been limited. Application of national level survey data to children with special health care needs at the state level has been limited. No single existing source of data meets the identified needs for data to promote the health and well being of children with

special health care needs.

SIGNIFICANCE Approx. 18% of WA's children have a special health care need. OMCH promotes comprehensive, family centered systems

of care, and assures the quality, efficacy and appropriateness of services for this population. To fulfill these roles, CSHCN must first be able to identify the population and provide reliable data on prevalence. Then we can focus on collecting and reporting issues, health status and quality of life for children with special health care needs. The development &

implementation of an integrated data system providing regularly available data for these uses is critical to assuring and

promoting the health and well being of these children.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: To reduce the prevalence of 8th grade youth who report smoking one or more cigarettes in the last 30 days.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce tobacco use by youth.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The estimated number of 8th graders in Washington who reported smoking one or more cigarettes in the last 30 days, as

measured on the Healthy Youth 2002 Survey.

Denominator:

The number of 8th grade students enrolled in Washington State public schools.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

The source of this measure will come from the Healthy Youth Survey, which will be administered every two years beginning

in 2002.

SIGNIFICANCE

The Washington State Department of Health has received a \$15 million allocation from tobacco settlement funds to begin a statewide comprehensive tobacco prevention and control program. A significant proportion of program funding will be dedicated to youth-oriented anti-tobacco media campaigns, school-based prevention programs, and community-based youth empowerment programs. Measurement of youth tobacco use will be an important key to tracking overall program

efficacy.

Page 59 of 83

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women who are screened for domestic violence during their prenatal care visits.(SP 11 Revised.)

STATUS: Active

Goal To reduce the incidence of domestic violence experienced by pregnant and postpartum women by increasing perinatal

provider identification and referral through increased training efforts.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of women who have delivered a live birth who report that their doctor, nurse or other health care provider talked to them during prenatal care visits about physical abuse to women by their husbands or partners. (PRAMS data weighted for

statewide estimate).

Denominator:

All pregnant women who have delivered a live birth (based on PRAMS data weighted for statewide estimate).

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

PRAMS

SIGNIFICANCE

Approximately 5% of pregnant women in Washington report being physically abused during pregnancy based on PRAMS data. The rate is much higher for teens and low-income women. Health care providers see pregnant women frequently and are in a position to play an important role in identifying and referring victims of domestic violence. Washington's approach is to develop ongoing mechanisms to train health care providers on identification and referral of domestic violence for pregnant and postpartum women, increase the percent of pregnant women who are screened during their prenatal visits and ultimately to reduce the prevalence of domestic violence.

Page 60 of 83

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Increase the capacity of MCH to assess mental health needs of the child and adolescent population and to promote early

identification, prevention and intervention services.

STATUS: Ad

GOAL Increase the mental health of MCH populations.

**DEFINITION** See notes for a detailed list of benchmarks.

Numerator

The number of performance measure bench marks Washington has reached to towards assessing the mental health needs

of the child and adolescent population.

Denominator:

Total number of benchmarks (15).

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

There will be two major data related focus areas:1. Currently there is a lack of Washington specific data regarding the level of need for non-publicly funded mental health services for MCH populations. Therefore, work on this performance measure

will require increasing MCH's assessment capacity to identify the level of need.2. Data does exist in other state agencies regarding the availability and usage of publicly funded mental health services. MCH's activities will included eveloping an analytic plan to collect and analyze secondary data sources which may include JRA Mental Health Systems Design Committee survey results, King County school-based clinic data, DSHS Child Welfare data, and the Health Youth Survey

2002.

SIGNIFICANCE

It has been estimated that as many as 20% of children and adolescents have a diagnosable behavioral, emotional or mental disorder. Furthermore, these conditions can lead to substance use, violent behaviors (including suicide attempts) and may

limit educational attainment. Because this is a new priority for MCH and because limited data exist on some mental health issues (i.e., the level of need for mental health services by MCH populations in the State of Washington), the initial focus of

MCH's efforts will be on assessment.

The percent of women who are screened during prenatal care visits for smoking, alcohol use, illegal drug use, HIV status, **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

and postpartum birth control plans.

STATUS:

GOAL

To improve access to comprehensive prenatal care that includes universal screening for smoking, alcohol use, illegal drug use, HIV status, and postpartum birth control plans.

**DEFINITION** 

Number of women who have delivered a live birth who report that their doctor, nurse of other health care provider talked to them during prenatal care visits about smoking, drinking alcohol, post-partum birth controls, illegal drugs, and getting tested for HIV. (based on PRAMS data weighted for statewide estimates.)

Denominator:

All pregnant women who have delivered a live birth (based on PRAMS data weighted for statewide estimate).

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

PRAMS provides data on all of these issues.

Universal screening during prenatal care visits for smoking, alcohol use, illegal drug use, HIV status and postpartum birth control provides opportunities to identify high risk behaviors and conditions so that interventions can be provided to reduce smoking, alcohol and illegal drug use; reduce perinatal transmission of HIV; and reduce subsequent unintended

pregnancies.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Develop and implement a set of measurable indicators and a strategic plan to improve food security in the Washington MCH

population, that is, absence of skipped meals or hunger due to lack of food.

STATUS: A

GOAL To improve food security in the Washington State MCH population.

**DEFINITION** See notes for a detailed list of benchmarks.

Numerator:

The number of performance measure benchmarks Washington has reached to towards improving food security.

Denominator:

Total number of benchmarks (15).

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

These reports provide indicators of Food Security or Food Insecurity/ Hunger in Washington: YRBS, BRFSS, PRAMS,

Children's Alliance Food Policy Center, Anti-Hunger and Nutrition Coalition, Food Stamp Program Administrative Reports, and Kid's Count, WIC, and Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction Child Nutrition Programs (school lunch and

breakfast), and Food Bank Organizations reports of utilization.

SIGNIFICANCE Aberrant nutritional status can result from food or nutrient deficits or excesses. The concept of Food Security implies

adequate household resources are needed to obtain sufficient nutritionally adequate and safe foods to meet basic needs through regular marketplace sources, without need to resort to emergency food sources (food banks), scavenging, stealing, or other severe coping strategies. The vast majority of Americans are food secure. Child-bearing aged women, infants, and children are especially vulnerable to the adverse effects of hunger and nutrient inadequacies, and those with low income are at greatest risk. Nutrient intake and dietary habits of infants and children impact readiness to learn and contribute to health risks in adulthood. Nutrition factors contribute substantially to the burden of preventable illness and premature death in the

U.S. and to the nation's economic burden.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Increase statewide system capacity to promote health and safety in child care.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase the capacity of the state to promote health and safety in child care by: a) developing collaborations with state

agencies providing child care services, b) securing long term funding c) developing a set of core competencies for child care health consultation, d) integrating training and technical assistance, and e) promoting access to health insurance and a

medical home through linkages with childcare providers.

**DEFINITION** See notes for a detailed list of benchmarks.

Numerator:

The number of performance measure benchmarks Washington has reached to increase statewide system capacity to

promote health and safety in child care.

Denominator:

Total number of benchmarks (18).

Units: 100 Text: percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

Data will come from the Child and Adolescent Health Program

The Washington State Department of Health, Maternal and Child Health Office (MCH) has taken a comprehensive approach to building an integrated public health/child care framework throughout Washington State in order to ensure the health and safety of the 60-70% of children under age 5 who are estimated to attend out-of-home care. This approach encompasses both the immediate physical needs of children as well as the developmental and emotional health in order to support children's readiness to learn at school entry. MCH activities have focused in three areas: training and technical assistance, quality assurance, and support for access to health services. All of these MCH activities aim to provide training to local health and child care resource and referral agencies so that they can better understand the health status and provide for the developmental needs of children in child care both in terms of the care they provide and the training of parents and/or

linkage to services they assist parents with.

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

# FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: WA

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

		Annual Indicator Data					
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003		
Annual Indicator	29.3	36.9	32.5	33.6			
Numerator	1,176	1,456	1,295	1,342	·		
Denominator	401,616	394,306	398,000	399,421			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final			

#### **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02**

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose ageis less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003		
Annual Indicator	59.9	87.0	85.0	97.0			
Numerator	19,433	30,732	31,453	31,435			
Denominator	32,443	35,325	37,003	32,407			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final			

#### **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03**

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
Annual Indicator	59.9	87.0	85.0	NaN		
Numerator	1,369	5,367	5,244	0		
Denominator	2,285	6,169	6,169	0		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional		

#### **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04**

Is the Data P

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

		Annual Indicator Data						
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003			
Annual Indicator	70.5	70.6	70.8	71.1				
Numerator	46,886	49,512	49,117	48,547				
Denominator	66,546	70,109	69,377	68,324				
Provisional or Final?				Final				

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ıta</u>	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	48.9	47.9	50.5	53.8	
Numerator	60,616	61,028	69,496	75,891	
Denominator	123,930	127,463	137,708	141,160	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	

The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving rehabilitative services from the State Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Program.

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	10.5	11.1	11.0	8.9	10.3
Numerator	947	1,098	1,180	936	1,171
Denominator	9,060	9,920	10,720	10,570	11,418
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

These data come from the Washington State Hospital Discharge database (CHARS) and are updated annually. The numerator represents the number of hospital discharges for children less than 5 years of age who had a primary diagnosis of asthma (ICD-9 codes 493.0-493.9). The denominator represents the number of children less than 5 years of age in Washington from Office of Financial Management

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

These data are based on the Washington State 2003 HEDIS Report from the Department of Social and Health Services and reflect the estimated statewide proportion of children who turned 15 months old during the reporting year, who were enrolled from 31 days of age in Medicaid or SCHIP and who received at least one well child visit. Data from seven managed care plans (who serve approximately 70% of the Medicaid enrollees less than 15 months) contributed to this report. Children not covered by managed care plans include those on SSI, in foster care, and residents who live in counties without a managed care option.

The denominator reflects the number of Medicaid enrollees less than one year. Although there appears to be a decline from last year, the number of kids enrolled in Medicaid has not changed: we used a different methodology and it impacted what we were estimating in the denominator.

Last year, we used the 2000 Medicaid Healthy Options chart review conducted by the Oregon Medical Professional Review Organization to develop our estimate of EPSDT screens, which now according to Medicaid is not a sustainable means of collecting this information and we decided using the HEDIS information for children under 15 months would be more reliable over time. This year we used the HEDIS information collected by Medicaid from the contracted managed care health plans. The different data source impacted how we calculated the denominator. Last year, we calculated the average monthly Medicaid enrollment of children under age 1 in CY01 for the denominator (37,003). This year, we calculated the average monthly Medicaid enrollment of children under 15 months of age who were enrolled in managed care in CY02 for the denominator (32,407). We used 15 months as the cut off, because HEDIS collects EPSDT data on children < 15 months old, and we used only the children in managed care as we did not have information on the approximately 30% of children < 15 months who are in fee for service. For comparison's sake, the average monthly Medicaid enrollment of children under age 1 in CY02 was 37,683.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

HSC3: The data from the previous years reflects all CHIP enrollees, not just children less than 1 year. In 2002, there were approximately 212 children less than 15 months during the reporting year who were covered by the State Children's Health Insurance Plan. A little over half of these children were enrolled in managed care plans. Their well child experience is included in the Washington State 2003 HEDIS Report from the Department of Social and Health Services. Because data specific to the CHIP enrollees are not available through HEDIS for this age group, we are currently unable to report on this measure. Washington CHIP covers from 200 to 250% of the poverty level.

4. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

These data come from the Washington State Center for Health Statistics Birth Certificate Files and are updated annually. The numerator represents the number of resident women (15-44 with a live birth) whose Adequacy of Prenatal Care Utilization (APNCU) index is greater than or equal to 80%. The denominator represents all resident women (15-44) with a live birth during the reporting year. 13.5% of the data fall outside the range of acceptable weight range (400-6000 grams) or are missing information describing the number of prenatal care visits and month prenatal care visits began.

5. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2005
Field Note:

These data come from the Department of Social and Health Services Medical Assistance Administration. The numerator represents the number of Medicaid enrolled children 6-9 who received any dental service in 2002. The denominator represents the total number of children ages 6-9 enrolled in Medicaid in 2002, in both Healthy Options (the MAA managed care program) and fee-for-service.

6. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note:

The sources of these data are the Washington State CSHCN Child Health Intake Form (CHIF) database and the Federal Social Security Administration. The numerator is the number of kids with a Child Health Intake Form (CHIF) completed who have SSI in 2003 (1,171). The total number of entries in the CHIF database for 2002 was 10,399 The denominator is from state-specific SSI data from the Health and Ready to Work National Center. In Washington, this target is set low because Medicaid provides extensive benefits and the CSHCN program is the payer of last resort. Last year's figures have been updated.

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: WA

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	V=15	2.2. 202		POPULATION	
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2002	Matching data files	6.3	5.3	5.7
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2002	Matching data files	6.8	4.7	5.6
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2002	Matching data files	74.4	90.2	83.5
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2002	Matching data files	64.2	78.2	72.3

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: WA

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2002	200
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 18 ) (Age range to ) (Age range to )	2002	200
c) Pregnant Women	2002	185

## FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: WA

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2002	250
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 18) (Age range to ) (Age range to )	2002	
c) Pregnant Women		

These data reflect the infant mortality rate for the 2001 Medicaid birth cohort. Thus, the number is based on the number of Medicaid and non-Medicaid infants born in 2001 who died in their infancy (so the death may have occurred in 2001 or in 2002). The overall number for this HSI differs from the CY 2002 period infant mortality rate for outcome measure #01. Outcome measure 01 is a period mortality rate and reflects the total number of infant deaths during CY2002 divided by the total number of live births in CY 2002.

The percent of missing data for the Medicaid, non\_medicaid comparisons are: LBW: 1.0 % Medicaid, 1.6% non-Mediciad, First trimester PNC: 10.6% Medicaid, 7.5% nonMedicaid, adequate PNC: 15.6% Medicaid and 11.9% non-Medicaid.

Indicator 6: The source of these data is the Washington State Poverty Guidelines. The source for SCHIP eligibility comes from the Model Application Template For State Child Health Plan under Title XXI of the Social Security Act State Children's Health Insurance Program. SCHIP eligibility should read 201 to <=250.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note: See Form Notes

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: AdequateCare

Field Name: AdequateCare
Row Name: Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care

Column Name: Year: 2005 Field Note: See Form Notes

#### **FORM 19** HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: WA

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner?  (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	3	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	2	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	3	No
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
  2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
  3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

## FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: WA

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	1	No
Other: Healthy Youth Survey	3	Yes

## HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	1	No
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	No
WIC Program Data	3	No
Other: Healthy Youth Survey	3	Yes

#### Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

#### Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

Annual Data Linkages:

The Department of Health has just initiated an internal data sharing agreement to link the WIC eligibility files with the PRAMS survey data and the birth certificates for 1999-2001. MCH should have access to at least the WIC-PRAMS linked data. This linkage is in progress.

Washington does not link the birth certificate file to the newborn screening file. However, the newborn screening program does receive copies of the birth records from facilities and uses that information to monitor the children screened, and assures that children have access to treatment as necessary. Over 99% of children born in Washington are screened using this methodology.

Although MCH does not have direct access to the Medicaid and newborn screening data, we have a strong history of collaboration with our partners to obtain data needed for program planning. In addition, through our SSDI grant we have been working with partners in the Department of Social and Health Services to enhance our understanding and use of Medicaid data through the development of a data review process, data dictionary and monthly data meeting.

Registries and Surveys: Washington has a passive birth defects surveillance system based on hospital discharge data. The BDSS is actively working on improving compliance with reporting requirements, enhancing data validation efforts, and boosting the data linkage to birth, fetal death and death certificates. Although Washington does not implement the YRBS, we do have a survey of adolescents implemented through the schools every two years. The Healthy Youth Survey is a collaborative effort of the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction, the Department of Health, the Department of Social and Health Service's Division of Alcohol and Substance Abuse, and the Office of Community Development. Students in grades 6, 8, 10 and 12 participate. The Healthy Youth Survey will next be administered in the Fall of 2004.

#### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

None

# FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: WA

I					
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A					
he percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	5.9	5.6	5.8	5.8	
Numerator	4,630	4,516	4,588	4,529	
Denominator	78,664	80,653	79,142	77,907	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B					
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
			Annual Indicator Da		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator		4.3	4.6	4.4	
Numerator	3,463	3,410	3,454	3,316	
Denominator	76,454	78,451	75,686	75,686	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?			· · ·	Final	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A  The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A			Annual Indicator D	Final	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A	1999	2000	Annual Indicator De 2001	Final  ata 2002	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A	1999	2000	Annual Indicator D	Final	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.	1999 1.0 799		Annual Indicator De 2001	Final  ata 2002	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator	1999 1.0 799	1.0	Annual Indicator Da	Final  ata 2002 1.0	2003

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

ne death rate per 100,000 due to unintentional injuries among child					
	ren aged 14 years a	and younger.			
			Annual Indicator Da		
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
	or 6.8			8.3	
	or <u>86</u>			104	
		1,255,051	1,259,241	1,260,062	
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?			Final	
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B					
ne death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children	aged 14 years and	younger due to motor	vehicle crashes.		
			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
	or 3.2			2.7	
		43	35	34	
Denominato	1,261,695	1,255,051	1,259,241	1,260,067	
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?				
FALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C					
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C  ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor v	ehicle crashes amo	ng youth aged 15 thro	ough 24 years.		
	ehicle crashes amo	ng youth aged 15 thro	ough 24 years. Annual Indicator Da	ata	
ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor	1999	2000	,	<u>ata</u> 2002	2003
ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor		2000	Annual Indicator Da	<del></del>	2003
ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor of the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor of the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor of the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor of the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor of the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor of the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor of the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor of the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor of the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor of the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor of the death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to the death rate per 100,000	1999	2000	Annual Indicator Da 2001	2002	2003
ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor of Annual Indicate  Numerate	1999 or 18.6	2000 23.0 188	Annual Indicator Da 2001 23.5 197	<b>2002</b> 22.5	2003

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

			Annual Indicator Da		
Amusi Indicator	1999	<b>2000</b> 21.0	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator					
Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1,261,695	1,255,051			
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C		4541 1 04			
he rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years.	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Annual Indicator	116.7	111.5			-
Numerator	937	912			
Denominator	802,756	818,153			
		·			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	case of chlamydia.				
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	case of chlamydia.		Annual Indicator D	ata	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of through 19 years with a reported of through 19 years with a reported of through 19 year	1999	19.5	<b>2001</b> 19.8	2002	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	1999 18.7 3,745	19.5 3,960	19.8 4,174	2002 22.9 4,865	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	1999 18.7 3,745	19.5	<b>2001</b> 19.8	2002	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged 19 years with a reported of the rate per 1,000 women aged	1999 18.7 3,745	19.5 3,960	19.8 4,174	2002 22.9 4,865	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 18.7 3,745 200,242	19.5 3,960	19.8 4,174 210,946	22.9 4,865 212,895	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 18.7 3,745 200,242	19.5 3,960 203,443	19.8 4,174 210,946	22.9 4,865 212,895	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1999 18.7 3,745 200,242 case of chlamydia.	19.5 3,960 203,443	19.8 4,174 210,946	22.9 4,865 212,895	2003
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B  The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	1999 18.7 3,745 200,242 case of chlamydia. 1999 4.4	19.5 3,960 203,443 2000 4.9	19.8 4,174 210,946 Annual Indicator Da	22.9 4,865 212,895	

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	0							
Children 1 through 4	0							
Children 5 through 9	0							
Children 10 through 14	0							
Children 15 through 19	0							
Children 20 through 24	0							
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1			
Children 1 through 4			
Children 5 through 9			
Children 10 through 14			
Children 15 through 19			
Children 20 through 24			
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	0							
Women 15 through 17	0							
Women 18 through 19	0							
Women 20 through 34	0							
Women 35 or older	0							
Women of all ages	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	
Women < 15				
Women 15 through 17				
Women 18 through 19				
Women 20 through 34				
Women 35 or older				
Women of all ages	0	0	0	

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics) For both parts A and B: Reporting Year: 0 Is this data from a State Projection? No

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	0							
Children 1 through 4	0							
Children 5 through 9	0							
Children 10 through 14	0							
Children 15 through 19	0							
Children 20 through 24	0							
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	
Infants 0 to 1				
Children 1 through 4				
Children 5 through 9				
Children 10 through 14				
Children 15 through 19				
Children 20 through 24				
Children 0 through 24	0	0	0	

HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	0								
Percent in household headed by single parent									
Percent in TANF (Grant) families									
Number enrolled in Medicaid	0								
Number enrolled in SCHIP	0								
Number living in foster home care	0								
Number enrolled in food stamp program	0								
Number enrolled in WIC	0								
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests									
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)									

HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data) Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity.(Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19				
Percent in household headed by single parent				
Percent in TANF (Grant) families				
Number enrolled in Medicaid				
Number enrolled in SCHIP				
Number living in foster home care				
Number enrolled in food stamp program				
Number enrolled in WIC				
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests				
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)				

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

Reporting Year: Is this data from a State Projection? No

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL
Living in metropolitan areas	
Living in urban areas	
Living in rural areas	
Living in frontier areas	
Total - all children 0 through 19	0

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: WA

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	
100% of poverty	
200% of poverty	

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: WA

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

Reporting Year: Is this data from a State Projection? No

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	
100% of poverty	
200% of poverty	

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None